

Journal Pre-proof

What patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and caregivers want – Filling the currents gaps with patient reported outcomes and experience measures

Meena Kalluri, Fabrizio Luppi, Giovanni Ferrara

PII: S0002-9343(19)30755-7

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.032>

Reference: AJM 15383

To appear in: *The American Journal of Medicine*



Please cite this article as: M. Kalluri, F. Luppi and G. Ferrara, What patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and caregivers want – Filling the currents gaps with patient reported outcomes and experience measures, *The American Journal of Medicine*(2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.032>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier.

What patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and caregivers want – Filling the currents gaps with patient reported outcomes and experience measures.

Meena Kalluri, MD¹, Fabrizio Luppi, MD PhD², Giovanni Ferrara, MD PhD¹

1.Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta and Alberta Health Services, Edmonton, Alberta, Meena Kalluri ORCID number: 0000-0003-4645-6292; Giovanni Ferrara ORCID number: 0000-0002-3807-3315.

2. Respiratory Unit, University of Milano Bicocca, S. Gerardo Hospital, ASST Monza, Italy. Fabrizio Luppi ORCID number: 0000-0001-5775-5947

Running head: Unmet needs and potential solutions in the Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis patient pathway

Key words: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, quality of life, multidisciplinary care, palliative care, best supportive care, end-of-life, current gaps, patient centered healthcare.

Abstract: 189

Word count: 3152 (excluding references)

Funding: none

Conflicts of interest: MK reports personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work; FL reports grants from Roche, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work; GF reports personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work.

All authors had access to the data and a role in writing the manuscript

Corresponding Author:

Giovanni Ferrara, MD PhD

Professor of Medicine, Divisional Director

Division of Pulmonary Medicine,

Department of Medicine, University of Alberta and Alberta Health Services,

3-134 Clinical Sciences Building, 11304 – 83 Avenue

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G3

Email: ferrara@ualberta.ca - Tel: +1 (780) 492 292

Abstract

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is a progressive disease, with a high mortality within the first 3-5 years from diagnosis and a poor quality of life mainly because of the burden of symptoms, such as dyspnea and cough, occurring usually many months before the diagnosis. Although available antifibrotic therapies slow down disease progression, they have no impact on quality of life. Moreover, healthcare around idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients is very often “disease-centered”, and relies on clinical surrogate outcomes that are poorly related to patients’ quality of life and disease experience.

Therefore, patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis have several unmet needs in all domains of health that they wish to see recognized and addressed in the context of the treatment of their disease and its complications.

In this review, we summarize the care pathway from the patients’ perspective, identifying current gaps in care, education, support and communication between patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, their caregivers and care teams during the patient journey. The role of patients reported outcomes (PROs), PRO measures (PROMs) and patient reported experience measures (PREMs) in their care is discussed, as well as the need of disease specific PROs/PROMs/PREMs.

Introduction

Patient experience is an important quality index of healthcare, and the use of patient-reported outcome (PRO) and experience measures (PROMs, PREMs) is recommended for measuring the performance of healthcare systems worldwide.^{1,2} In fact, there is an increasing recognition of the need to include patient perspectives among the outcomes of medical care.^{1,3} Policy makers, funding and regulatory bodies recognize that the lack of patient-centered care results in unmet patient needs, high costs and ultimately ineffective care.^{4,5} Historically, clinicians and researchers have favored “objective” outcomes, such as mortality, for the assessment of efficacy of medical interventions. Unfortunately, these measures do not always align with what is most important to the patients (i.e. the impact of disease on their wellbeing and their ability to live a fulfilling life⁵), creating unmet needs and care gaps.⁶ The development and use of PRO and related measures is an attempt to include patient perspectives in designing systems and approaches that can truly meet their needs.⁴

Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis is a progressive disease with a high mortality in 3-5 years from diagnosis.^{7,8} It is characterized by poor quality of life and high symptom burden.^{9,10} Current idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis care delivery models do not address patient needs. As a result, patient advocacy bodies have generated calls for action to develop a holistic approach to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patient care,¹¹⁻¹³ with the aim to include good quality care at all stages of disease.^{10,14} A holistic approach should address disease management as well as individual patient concerns.¹⁵

The lack of appropriate and dedicated tools such as patient reported outcomes (PROs) and their respective measures (PROMs, PREMs) in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis contributed to current gaps in the field^{11,12}.

In this review, we summarize the available evidence on what idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients and caregivers value and what they perceive as care gaps; finally, we highlight the urgent need to develop and validate disease-specific PROMS and PREMS.^{16,17}

Patient Reported Outcomes, patient reported experiences and their measures.

Patient reported outcomes (PROs) are directly reported by patients and provide information about the perspective on their own health status and quality of life in the context of a disease and its treatments.¹⁸ Patient reported experiences (PREs) describe how patients experience healthcare, and reflect patient perspectives on various facets of the care processes. They are also self-reported interpretation¹⁹ from patients and their families, representing a valuable opinion to assess quality and to improve healthcare.²⁰

Patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) are dedicated tools to assess health outcomes and quality of life of patients.^{21,22} They are multidimensional as they address various domains, such as symptoms, functional status, psychological, social and spiritual wellbeing. Different types of PROMs are available: they can be classified as generic, disease or domain-specific.^{18,22} Generic PROMs are useful for the whole population (healthy and diseased), and focus on function, independence and mood. Disease-specific PROMs focus on impact of a single disease or group of diseases, such as on various aspects of patient's life. Domain-specific

PROMs are focused on particular symptom (e.g. dyspnea) or organ/systems (e.g. gastro-intestinal, colorectal).

Patient reported experience measures (PREMs) are tools to gather data on patient views of their healthcare experience, rather than on outcomes. They indirectly assess the quality of care and they can be classified as relational or functional.¹⁹ Relational PREMs focus on patients' experience of their relationship with the care team. Functional PREMs focus on objective experience of care facilities (e.g. type of care model, support, waiting times, access, cleanliness, comfort). PREMs can be generic or disease specific.¹⁹

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Patient-Centered Outcome Research Institute (PCORI) have released guidelines to help researchers develop and use PROs and PROMs in research.¹⁸ They recommend that studies of any intervention should include the impact on PROs, PROMs and PREMs.^{16,18} There is increasing recognition that clinical care also benefits from their use.²³⁻²⁵ Incorporation of PROs in clinics can facilitate a multidimensional, patient-centered evaluation of the disease, identify unmet needs, direct clinician attention to these needs and better inform policy makers in the light of identified patient goals.^{11,16,17} The use of PREMs can impact the quality of patient-provider communication by facilitating inclusion of patient perspectives and their narratives.²⁶⁻²⁹

Patient Reported Outcomes and Experience Measures for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

Targeted strategies to improve patient-centered care, quality of life and patient experience are paramount in a progressive disease such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.⁹ Unfortunately, all major idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis clinical trials focused on objective

measures such as lung function, and PROMs have only been used as secondary endpoints.^{30,31} The past decade has seen an increase in PROMs use in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, generally including dyspnea and cough questionnaires, quality of life measures and depression/anxiety questionnaires.⁹ Generic PROMs such as Euroqol-5D and short form-36 have been used in this condition.^{32,33} Disease specific PROMs, such as Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ)³⁴ and COPD assessment Test (CAT),³⁵ although not specific for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, have also been used. In addition, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis specific tools such as SGRQ-IPF³⁶, the cross Atlantic tool to assess quality of life in IPF (ATAQ-IPF-ca)³⁷ and King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease (K-BILD) questionnaire³⁸ were also developed. There are several other specific questionnaires in various stages of development: "Living with IPF" (L-IPF)³⁹ was reviewed by the FDA and is undergoing further validation testing; "IPF-PROM"⁴⁰ is currently under development. The other domain specific PROMs used in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis are the University of California San Diego Shortness of Breath Questionnaire (UCSD SOB),⁴¹ the modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Questionnaire (mMRC)⁴² and again the K-BILD,³⁸ the SGRQ-IPF³⁶ and the ATAQ-ca³⁷ for dyspnea, the Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ)⁴³ and the Cough Quality of Life Questionnaire (CQLQ)⁴⁴ for cough, as well as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) for anxiety and depression.⁴⁵

Currently, there are no PREMs available for clinical use. The Patient Experiences and Satisfaction with Medications (PESaM)⁴⁶ questionnaire is an example for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis PREM that was developed to assess patient experiences with pirfenidone for the treatment of the disease and it is awaiting a validation study.

The patient pathway in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and the patient-centered approach

The diagnosis and treatment of this disease is a complex clinical process, involving a multidisciplinary team.^{47,48} Most patients describe the diagnostic process as a major struggle due to lack of awareness, delayed access to tertiary centers, lack of patient-centered care and lack of reliable information about the disease (Table 1).

At least three important overlapping phases are included in the patient pathway: 1) early and confident diagnosis 2) progression of the disease 3) EoL. Needs assessment, individualized care delivery, timely communication and planning should support the patients in all the phases of their journey with the disease.^{9,12} Appropriate and precise tools, such as PROMs and PREMs, should be used by the multidisciplinary team to understand the complexity of the patient's condition and to tailor supportive care.^{9,13}

Table 1 and 2 report the main pitfalls of the current care of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. They identify gaps in the current clinic models, and provide suggestions for the use of PROMs.

Early and accurate diagnosis with patient-centered education and support

Recent studies showed that delayed recognition of symptoms in primary care leads to delayed referral and diagnostic delays.^{49,50} Patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis have a poor quality of life already at diagnosis, therefore early diagnosis and disease specific treatment/supportive care strategies are crucial to preserve it.^{9,10} Cough, dyspnea and fatigue are frequently underrecognized in primary care, leading to delays in referral^{51,52}. The use of

generic PROMs and PREMs in primary care may bring dyspnea and cough to light, raising the suspicion and early detection of the disease.

When patients are informed of this diagnosis, communication is frequently insensitive and incomplete, lacking empathy or expression of support, and this has detrimental effects on how patients perceive their disease.^{53,54} The use of relational PREMs can explore patient experience, measuring if they were treated with empathy and the quality of communication and care provided.

The majority of patients do not receive holistic care, and their symptoms, psychosocial-emotional and caregiver needs are frequently overlooked.^{12,53,54} The CaNoPy study⁵⁵ revealed that the standard clinical assessments do not truly reflect patient experience of the disease. Bajwah et al²⁷ found that while all patients and their caregivers identified dyspnea as their main concern, their care providers had limited appreciation of its impact on patient's quality of life, showing that attention must be paid to detecting such needs and align provided care to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patient's individualized goals from the time of the diagnosis. In this context, PROMs and PREMs offer the possibility to make clinicians aware of what patients really feel, need and want from the beginning of their journey.

On the other hand, the patients frequently experience fear, anxiety, worry, hopelessness and helplessness and would like to discuss strategies to address their daily needs.^{12,53,54} To fill this gap, the multidisciplinary teams, including specialized nurse, physiotherapist, respiratory therapist, social worker, need training in best supportive and palliative care including meticulous needs assessment, symptom relief strategies and patient education. Patients' group educational meetings should be used to integrate the patient-

centered approach. The use of PROs, PROMs and PREMs in these situations can help identify common priorities and establish a shared understanding of anticipated outcomes and care experiences, thereby improving communication.

Tools such as the palliative care needs assessment tool in interstitial lung disease (NAT: PD -ILD),⁵⁶ symptom assessment scales and quality of life measures can provide useful measures to assess patients health and their lives at the time of the first assessment (Table 1). Other needs may not be captured by the above tools; in that case, the measure yourself medical outcome profile (MYMOP) questionnaire⁵⁷ can be used. The advantage with MYMOP is that it asks the patient to identify the most important symptom instead of forcing fixed choices. In idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, where education and information needs are largely unmet, use of MYMOP may allow patients to underscore this needs.

PROMs alone will not lead to improved outcomes without a change in clinicians approach to care. Ramadurai et al⁵⁸ explored the education and information gaps and described both disease specific as well as individualized content for both patients and caregivers: Education is key as it increases understanding, sense of control and empowerment. Such information needs to be delivered at the first visit and revisited throughout the course of the disease.

Advanced care planning is another very important part of the patient-centered approach, and needs to be addressed early at the beginning of the patient journey with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. It implies an interactive patient-centered communication process between patients, their families and the healthcare^{14,53,59} All major guidelines⁴⁸ endorse early advanced care planning discussions to (1) alleviate patient and caregiver burden, (2) provide

relevant information, (3) help prepare families for end of life and (4) eventually deliver care in alignment with patient preferences. Unfortunately, over 80% of the patients with pulmonary fibrosis do not have advanced care planning discussions, and end up dying in hospital without any palliative care involvement.⁶⁰ At the core of these issues is an underlying misperception that palliative care is relevant only in EoL care. Therefore, most patients are referred too late and consequently also believe that palliative care is an EoL care only¹⁰.

Given the existing shortages of palliative care experts, advanced care planning should be regarded as the responsibility of the specialist and primary care teams.⁶¹ Preliminary evidence suggests that implementing these conversations tertiary clinics has direct impact on care.¹⁴ Further efforts are needed to develop advanced care planning models and PROs to assess its quality in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis care.¹⁰

Pulmonary rehabilitation is an excellent opportunity to improve functional capacity and quality of life. Patients should be referred to such programs early and whenever possible as needs escalate. These programs can be tailored to meet the education and information needs including advance care planning needs in this patient population.

Caregivers should be involved and integrated early on in the patient journey. The devastating effects of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis extend beyond patients, to their families and friends. Use of caregiver burden surveys may highlight their needs and lead to provide support or more resources to improve their living. Caregiver presence and ability to help is vital to patient well-being in this condition, therefore, care providers must actively seek out ways to identify and address caregiver concerns and to educate them on how to face the challenges of the disease.

Progression of the disease

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis remains an unpredictable disease with varying rates of progression and inability to predict decline at individual level,^{7,48} also for the occurrence of acute exacerbations.^{62,63} Most patients are not well informed about this, and uncertainty creates anxiety that affects their living. There is a need to educate patients on what to expect and how to prepare for future decline in an easy-to-understand fashion. This type of education must be individualized as the rates of progression will vary among individuals.⁶⁴

Impairment of quality of life in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is largely driven by worsening of symptoms, such as dyspnea, cough and fatigue over time.^{10,27,65} Daily activities, recreation, pleasure and employment are often directly affected by the burden of symptoms; anxiety, depression and social isolation result from the limitations caused by the disease (Table 2). Currently, there are no dyspnea questionnaires that capture the newly defined episodic breathlessness that may require different treatment strategies.^{66,67} Many patients report that the practical information needed to live with their disease is generally missing⁶⁸, perceiving that care in the specialist centers tends to be “disease-centric” without enough emphasis on symptom management, living life well, maintaining hope and preparing for death with dignity.⁶⁹ Mularki et al. recommend that patients and caregivers should be provided dedicated dyspnea education and action plans as part of home dyspnea management.⁷⁰ Dyspnea self-management in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is not described outside of a few case reports.^{59,71} As disease

and symptom needs progress, the efforts to address education and information need to follow in parallel. The use of PREMs and PROMs (Table 2) in this context can lead to recognition of patient needs and prompt required action by the multidisciplinary team.

In addition, the patients want to be supported outside the clinic: the CaNoPy study⁶⁹ highlighted the patients' need to identify early deterioration and to start simple interventions - such as timely supplemental oxygen - to preserve quality of life without waiting for follow-up clinic appointments. These findings suggest that self-monitoring and management at home are important patient's needs to regain a sense of control and to feel empowered. In this context, PROMs can be easily monitored, detect clinical changes and lead to meaningful early interventions. Scales to measure self-efficacy, confidence and patient activation measure¹¹ along with symptom scales and needs assessment tools can also be useful to assess impact of interventions.

Furthermore, most patients in this phase want information about decline and EoL. Advanced care planning discussions can address these needs by identifying wishes, providing context discussing clinical and functional status and engaging patients in shared decision making.⁵⁹ It is paramount to ask patients directly; therefore, PROMs are invaluable in this situation.

Education of caregivers on how to recognize and handle worsening is essential in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis care. Caregivers are often not prepared to help their loved ones, and both describe being overcome with frustration, hopelessness and helplessness.⁷² Continued caregiver engagement in care process and decision making is, therefore, desirable in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.⁵⁹ Preliminary data show that collaboration between support

groups and community services improves care and reduces hospitalizations at EoL.^{73,14} Additionally, patients with pulmonary fibrosis find support groups to be instrumental in developing positive thinking, learning how to cope well, using emotion focused strategies to overcome depression and to reduce social isolation.⁷⁴

End-of-Life

EoL care in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is poor and it is marked by lack of timely and appropriate palliative care access, symptom management and advanced care planning.^{75,76} Several studies pointed to a very high caregiver burden due to the lack of a patient and family-centered approach to care and easy access to community resources for support.^{54,69,72} Ahmadi et al⁷⁵ and Rajala et al⁷⁶ have shown that most patients die in hospitals with aggressive, costly and ineffective therapies, poor symptom assessment and control, and low family presence at death. Death is “unexpected” and there is no anticipatory guidance for families and inadequate bereavement support. When compared to lung cancer, EoL care in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is poorer.⁷⁵ Qualitative data from patient, caregiver and care provider interviews indicate the lack of expertise in dealing with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis-specific EoL issues and the urgent need to develop symptom management protocols.^{59,77} An explorative analysis suggested that early implementation of palliative care can result in greater concordance between patient preferences and care provided, increase home deaths and reduce EoL acute care use.^{14,76} Bereaved caregivers who experienced early integrated palliative approach in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis care reported being better prepared to EoL, improved quality of life, and better quality of dying, in collaboration with the multidisciplinary team.^{14,77}

In conclusion, palliative care processes must begin early with meaningful advanced care planning conversations, systematic and ongoing needs assessment, integrated symptom management, anticipatory guidance, education and support that can effectively address issues and questions when the disease worsens. The use of PROMs and PREMs can play a major role in this process (Table 2), helping in identifying patient and caregivers needs.

Conclusions

Advocacy bodies, policy makers, regulatory authorities, pharmaceutical industry and healthcare systems, including patients and their caregivers, recognize the need for the use of PROs, PROMs, PREMs in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Their use can improve care and research, facilitate patient-centered care by identifying needs and informing care decisions. It must be recognized that patient needs and experiences are as important as objective measures in the health outcomes assessment and shared care decisions with the patients. Knowledge translation cannot occur without changing attitudes, culture of practice and removing practical barriers to provision of patient-centered care.

An ideal setting to implement PRO/PROM/PREM is the idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis multidisciplinary team. Many questions regarding its composition and governance remain unanswered, requiring further studies to better define the role of these tool in earlier diagnosis, better management and follow-up. The ability to assess and control symptoms, develop self-efficacy, access to psychosocial, emotional and spiritual support and information on the disease and its course are important unmet needs for both idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients and caregivers.^{11,12,49,69} Furthermore, PROs/PROMs/PREMs need to be validated to accurately identify patient needs, to measure efficacy of interventions, to improve various domains of

quality of life and care, and to address educational needs at various time points in the disease course.

There is a huge need to support the multidisciplinary work focused on identifying gaps across the patient journey, and PREMs/PROMs can be instrumental to this goal.^{9,10} Digital patient interfaces are already available, allowing their measurement at chosen time-points,⁷⁸ with scores made readily available for decision making at the time of patient clinical encounters.

References

1. Gerteis M, Edgman-Levitan S, Walker JD, Stoke DM, Cleary PD, Delbanco TL. What patients really want. *Health Manage Q*. 1993;15(3):2-6.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10129190>. Accessed April 15, 2019.
2. Weldring T, Smith SMS. Article Commentary: Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs). *Heal Serv Insights*. 2013;6:HSI.S11093.
doi:10.4137/HSI.S11093
3. Institute for Healthcare Improvement: Person- and Family-Centered Care.
<http://www.ihi.org/Topics/PFCC/Pages/default.aspx>. Published 2019. Accessed April 15, 2019.
4. Clancy C, Collins FS. Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute: the intersection of science and health care. *Sci Transl Med*. 2010;2(37):37cm18.
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3001235
5. Ross J, Santhirapala R, MacEwen C, Coulter A. Helping patients choose wisely. *BMJ*. 2018;361:k2585. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2585
6. Powell P, Spranger O, Hartl S, Roberts CM, Fletcher M. Listening to the unmet needs of Europeans with COPD. *Breathe*. 2013;9(5):350-356. doi:10.1183/20734735.006613
7. Richeldi L, Collard HR, Jones MG. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Lancet*. 2017.
doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30866-8
8. Raghu G, Rochweg B, Zhang Y, et al. An Official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT Clinical Practice Guideline: Treatment of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. An Update of the 2011 Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015;192:e3-19.
doi:10.1164/rccm.201506-1063ST

9. Ferrara G, Luppi F, Birring SS, et al. Best supportive care for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: Current gaps and future directions. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2018;27(147).
doi:10.1183/16000617.0076-2017
10. Kreuter M, Bendstrup E, Russell AM, et al. Palliative care in interstitial lung disease: living well. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2017;5(12):968-980. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30383-1
11. Russell A-M, Sprangers MAG, Wibberley S, Snell N, Rose DM, Swigris JJ. The need for patient-centred clinical research in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:240.
doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0475-4
12. Russell A-M, Ripamonti E, Vancheri C. Qualitative European survey of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: patients' perspectives of the disease and treatment. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2016;16:10. doi:10.1186/s12890-016-0171-y
13. Thickett DR, Kendall C, Spencer LG, et al. Improving care for patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) in the UK: a round table discussion. *Thorax.* 2014;69:1136-1140.
doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206284
14. Kalluri M, Claveria F, Ainsley E, Haggag M, Armijo-Olivo S, Richman-Eisenstat J. Beyond Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Diagnosis: Multidisciplinary Care With an Early Integrated Palliative Approach Is Associated With a Decrease in Acute Care Utilization and Hospital Deaths. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2018;55(2):420-426.
doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.10.016
15. No Title. In: *Diagnosis and Management of Suspected Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis.* National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Clinical Guidelines. London; 2013. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25340234>.

16. Wijsenbeek M, Manena M Van, Bonella F. New insights on patient-reported outcome measures in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: Only PROMises? *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2016. doi:10.1097/MCP.0000000000000294
17. Black N. Patient reported outcome measures could help transform healthcare. *BMJ*. 2013;346:f167. doi:10.1136/bmj.f167
18. Fda. *Guidance for Industry Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims.*; 2009.
19. Mbbs CK, Frca B, Mbbs SP, Frca B. Patient-reported outcome measures and patient-reported experience measures What are PROMs and PREMs? *BJA Educ*. 2017;17(4):137-144. doi:10.1093/bjaed/mkw060
20. LaVela SL, Gallan A. Evaluation and Measurement of Patient Experience. 2014. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2643249. Accessed April 15, 2019.
21. Devlin NJ, Parkin D, Browne J. Patient-reported outcome measures in the NHS: new methods for analysing and reporting EQ-5D data. *Heal Econ*. 2010;19(8):886-905. doi:10.1002/hec.1608
22. Nilsson E, Orwelius L, Kristenson M. Patient-reported outcomes in the Swedish National Quality Registers. *J Intern Med*. 2016;279:141-153. doi:10.1111/joim.12409
23. Chen J, Ou L, Hollis SJ. A systematic review of the impact of routine collection of patient reported outcome measures on patients, providers and health organisations in an oncologic setting. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2013;13(1):211. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-211
24. Nelson EC, Eftimovska E, Lind C, Hager A, Wasson JH, Lindblad S. Patient reported outcome measures in practice. *BMJ*. 2015;350(feb10 14):g7818-g7818. doi:10.1136/bmj.g7818

25. Santana M-J, Feeny D. Framework to assess the effects of using patient-reported outcome measures in chronic care management. *Qual Life Res.* 2014;23(5):1505-1513.
doi:10.1007/s11136-013-0596-1
26. Greenhalgh J, Meadows K. The effectiveness of the use of patient-based measures of health in routine practice in improving the process and outcomes of patient care: a literature review. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 1999;5(4):401-416.
27. Bajwah S, Higginson IJ, Ross JR, Wells AU, Biring SS, Riley J. The palliative care needs for fibrotic interstitial lung disease: a qualitative study of patients, informal caregivers and health professionals. *Palliat Med.* 2013;27:869-876. doi:10.1177/0269216313497226
28. *Crossing the Quality Chasm.* Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2001.
doi:10.17226/10027
29. Bajwah S, Koffman J, Higginson IJ, et al. "I wish I knew more ..." the end-of-life planning and information needs for end-stage fibrotic interstitial lung disease: views of patients, carers and health professionals. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2013;3(1):84-90.
doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2012-000263
30. Richeldi L, du Bois RM, Raghu G, et al. Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370:2071-2082. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402584
31. King TE, Bradford WZ, Castro-Bernardini S, et al. A phase 3 trial of pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370:2083-2092.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402582
32. Kreuter M, Swigris J, Pittrow D, et al. Health Related Quality of life in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in clinical practice: INSIGHTS-IPF Registry. .
33. Witt S, Krauss E, Barbero MAN, et al. Psychometric properties and minimal important

- differences of SF-36 in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. *Respir Res.* 2019;20(1):47.
doi:10.1186/s12931-019-1010-5
34. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, Littlejohns P. A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation. The St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1992;145(6):1321-1327. doi:10.1164/ajrccm/145.6.1321
35. Matsuda T, Taniguchi H, Ando M, et al. COPD Assessment Test for measurement of health status in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A cross-sectional study. *Respirology.* 2017;22(4):721-727. doi:10.1111/resp.12936
36. Yorke J, Jones PW, Swigris JJ. Development and validity testing of an IPF-specific version of the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Thorax.* 2010;65(10):921-926. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.139121
37. Yorke J, Spencer LG, Duck A, et al. Cross-Atlantic modification and validation of the A Tool to Assess Quality of Life in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (ATAQ-IPF-cA). *BMJ Open Respir Res.* 2014;1(1):e000024. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2014-000024
38. Patel AS, Siegert RJ, Brignall K, et al. The development and validation of the King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease (K-BILD) health status questionnaire. *Thorax.* 2012;67:804-810. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-201581
39. Graney B, Johnson N, Evans C, et al. Living with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (L-IPF): Developing a patient-reported symptom and impact questionnaire to assess health-related quality of life in IPF. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195:A5353.
40. Russell A, Wickremasinghe M, Renzoni E, et al. S77 The idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients reported outcome measure (IPF-PROM) is reliable and valid for use in populations with IPF. *Thorax.* 2018;73(Suppl 4):A47-A47. doi:10.1136/thorax-2018-

212555.83

41. Swigris JJ, Han M, Vij R, et al. The UCSD shortness of breath questionnaire has longitudinal construct validity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Respir Med.* 2012;106(10):1447-1455. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2012.06.018
42. Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA. Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax.* 1999;54(7):581-586. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10377201>.
43. Birring SS, Prudon B, Carr AJ, Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Pavord ID. Development of a symptom specific health status measure for patients with chronic cough: Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ). *Thorax.* 2003;58(4):339-343. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12668799>.
44. Lechtzin N, Hilliard ME, Horton MR. Validation of the Cough Quality-of-Life Questionnaire in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest.* 2013;143(6):1745-1749. doi:10.1378/chest.12-2870
45. Matsuda T, Taniguchi H, Ando M, et al. Depression Is Significantly Associated with the Health Status in Patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. *Intern Med.* 2017;56(13):1637-1644. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.56.7019
46. Kimman ML, Wijsenbeek MS, van Kuijk SMJ, et al. Validity of the Patient Experiences and Satisfaction with Medications (PESaM) Questionnaire. *Patient - Patient-Centered Outcomes Res.* 2019;12(1):149-162. doi:10.1007/s40271-018-0340-6
47. Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Myers JL, et al. Diagnosis of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. An Official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care*

- Med.* 2018;198(5):e44-e68. doi:10.1164/rccm.201807-1255ST
48. Raghu G, Collard HR, Egan JJ, et al. An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT statement: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: evidence-based guidelines for diagnosis and management. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011;183:788-824. doi:10.1164/rccm.2009-040GL
49. Cosgrove GP, Bianchi P, Danese S, Lederer DJ. Barriers to timely diagnosis of interstitial lung disease in the real world: the INTENSITY survey. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2018;18(1):9. doi:10.1186/s12890-017-0560-x
50. Collard HR, King TE, Bartelson BB, Vourlekis JS, Schwarz MI, Brown KK. Changes in Clinical and Physiologic Variables Predict Survival in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2003;168(5):538-542. doi:10.1164/rccm.200211-1311OC
51. Sköld CM, Arnheim-Dahlström L, Bartley K, et al. Patient journey and treatment patterns in adults with IPF based on health care data in Sweden from 2001 to 2015. *Respir Med.* 2019;0(0). doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2019.06.001
52. Hewson T, McKeever TM, Gibson JE, Navaratnam V, Hubbard RB, Hutchinson JP. Timing of onset of symptoms in people with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax.* 2018;73(7):683-685. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210177
53. Lindell KO, Kavalieratos D, Gibson KF, Tycon L, Rosenzweig M. The palliative care needs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A qualitative study of patients and family caregivers. *Heart Lung J Acute Crit Care.* 2017;46(1):24-29. doi:10.1016/j.hrtlng.2016.10.002
54. Overgaard D, Kaldan G, Marsaa K, Nielsen TL, Shaker SB, Egerod I. The lived experience with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A qualitative study. *Eur Respir J.* 2016. doi:10.1183/13993003.01566-2015

55. Sampson C, Gill BH, Harrison NK, Nelson A, Byrne A. The care needs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and their carers (CaNoPy): results of a qualitative study. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2015;15:155. doi:10.1186/s12890-015-0145-5 [doi]
56. Johnson MJ, Jamali A, Ross J, et al. Psychometric validation of the needs assessment tool: progressive disease in interstitial lung disease. *Thorax.* 2018;73(9):880-883. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210911
57. Ishaque S, Johnson JA, Vohra S. Individualized health-related quality of life instrument Measure Yourself Medical Outcome Profile (MYMOP) and its adaptations: a critical appraisal. *Qual Life Res.* November 2018. doi:10.1007/s11136-018-2046-6
58. Ramadurai D, Corder S, Churney T, et al. Understanding the informational needs of patients with IPF and their caregivers: ‘You get diagnosed, and you ask this question right away, what does this mean?’ *BMJ Open Qual.* 2018;7(1):e000207. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-000207
59. Pooler C, Richman-Eisenstat J, Kalluri M. Early integrated palliative approach for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: A narrative study of bereaved caregivers’ experiences. *Palliat Med.* 2018;32(9):1455-1464. doi:10.1177/0269216318789025
60. Lindell KO, Liang Z, Hoffman LA, et al. Palliative care and location of death in decedents with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chest.* 2015;147(2):423-429. doi:10.1378/chest.14-1127
61. Rocker GM, Simpson AC, Horton R. Palliative Care in Advanced Lung Disease: The Challenge of Integrating Palliation Into Everyday Care. *Chest.* 2015;148(3):801-809. doi:10.1378/chest.14-2593 [doi]
62. Collard HR, Ryerson CJ, Corte TJ, et al. Acute Exacerbation of Idiopathic Pulmonary

- Fibrosis. An International Working Group Report. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016;194(3):265-275. doi:10.1164/rccm.201604-0801CI
63. Luppi F, Cerri S, Taddei S, Ferrara G, Cottin V. Acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a clinical review. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2015;10(4). doi:10.1007/s11739-015-1204-x
64. Schoenheit G, Becattelli I, Cohen AH. Living with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: an in-depth qualitative survey of European patients. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2011;8(4):225-231. doi:10.1177/1479972311416382
65. van Manen MJ, Birring SS, Vancheri C, et al. Cough in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2016;25(141):278-286. doi:10.1183/16000617.0090-2015
66. Currow DC, Abernethy AP, Allcroft P, et al. The need to research refractory breathlessness. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;47(1). doi:10.1183/13993003.00653-2015
67. Simon ST, Weingärtner V, Higginson IJ, Voltz R, Bausewein C. Definition, categorization, and terminology of episodic breathlessness: Consensus by an international Delphi survey. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2014;47(5):828-838. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2013.06.013
68. Manen MJG van, Spijker A van 't, Tak NC, et al. Patient and partner empowerment programme for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J*. 2017;49(4):1601596. doi:10.1183/13993003.01596-2016
69. Sampson C, Gill BH, Harrison NK, Nelson A, Byrne A. The care needs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and their carers (CaNoPy): results of a qualitative study. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2015;15(1):155. doi:10.1186/s12890-015-0145-5
70. Mularski RA, Reinke LF, Carrieri-Kohlman V, et al. An official american thoracic society

- workshop report: Assessment and palliative management of dyspnea crisis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2013;10(5). doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201306-169ST
71. Kalluri M, Richman-Eisenstat J. Breathing is not an option; dyspnea is. *J Palliat Care.* 2014;30(3).
72. Belkin a., Albright K, Swigris JJ. A qualitative study of informal caregivers' perspectives on the effects of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *BMJ Open Respir Res.* 2014;1(Ic):e000007-e000007. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2013-000007
73. Kalluri M, Richman-Eisenstat J. Impact of collaborative, multidisciplinary care on place of death in patients in fibrotic lung diseases. *Chest.* 2015;148(772 A).
74. Senanayake S, Harrison K, Lewis M, McNarry M, Hudson J. Patients' experiences of coping with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and their recommendations for its clinical management. Leroyer C, ed. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(5):e0197660. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197660
75. Ahmadi Z, Wysham NG, Lundström S, Janson C, Currow DC, Ekström M. End-of-life care in oxygen-dependent ILD compared with lung cancer: a national population-based study. *Thorax.* 2016;71(6):510-516. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207439
76. Rajala K, Lehto JT, Saarinen M, Sutinen E, Saarto T, Myllarniemi M. End-of-life care of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *BMC Palliat Care.* 2016;15(1):85. doi:10.1186/s12904-016-0158-8
77. Kalluri M, Richman-Eisenstat J. Early and Integrated Palliative Care to Achieve a Home Death in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2017;53(6):1111-1115. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2016.12.344
78. Ferrara G, Carlson L, Palm A, Einarsson J, Olivesten C, Sköld M. Idiopathic pulmonary

- fibrosis in Sweden: report from the first year of activity of the Swedish IPF-Registry. *Eur Clin Respir J*. 2016;3:31090.
79. Holland AE, Fiore JF, Goh N, et al. Be honest and help me prepare for the future: What people with interstitial lung disease want from education in pulmonary rehabilitation. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2015;12(2):93-101. doi:10.1177/1479972315571925
80. Howie JG, Heaney DJ, Maxwell M. Measuring quality in general practice. Pilot study of a needs, process and outcome measure. *Occas Pap R Coll Gen Pract*. 1997;(75):i-xii, 1-32. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9141884>. Accessed April 15, 2019.
81. Kalluri M, Richman-Eisenstat J. Care Redesign in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: From Consulting to Caring. <https://catalyst.nejm.org/idiopathic-pulmonary-fibrosis-care/>. Published 2019. Accessed April 15, 2019.
82. Smith TA, Ingham JM, Jenkins CR. Respiratory failure, non-invasive ventilation and symptom burden: an observational study. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. October 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2018.10.505
83. French CT, Irwin RS, Fletcher KE, Adams TM. Evaluation of a cough-specific quality-of-life questionnaire. *Chest*. 2002;121(4):1123-1131. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11948042>.

Table 1. Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) and Patient Reported Experience Measures (PREMs) in diagnosis and treatment

| Patient perspective (PRO) | Pitfalls | How to improve patient pathway | Potential PROMs and PREMs |
|--|--|---|--|
| Frequent delays in primary care ⁵⁴ | Lack of: 1) attention to patient illness narratives; 2) IPF awareness | Improve access to secondary and tertiary care. Include patient perspective and increase IPF awareness | Assessment of well-being, health status (SF-36) ³³ and symptoms (MYMOP) ⁵⁷ . |
| Healthcare experience disconnected from patient reality and daily needs ^{54,79} | Lack of: 1) MDT and PC approach; 2) Prioritization of symptom/need assessment; 3) Integrated advanced care planning; 4) Communication and information skills | Integrate PC approach within MDT, importance of symptom management, initiate early SCP discussions. Importance of discussion strategies about how to improve QoL, self-management and empowerment | Symptom scale to detect needs (NAT, PD- interstitial lung disease ⁵⁶ , mMRC ⁴² , UCSD SOB ⁴¹ , LCQ, CQLQ) QoL measures: SGRQ-IPF ³⁶ , K-BILD ³⁸ , ATAQ-IPFca ³⁷ , L-IPF ³⁹ Relational PREMs to identify communications gaps, perceptions of poor care. PEI ⁸⁰ : to determine if patient received sufficient education |
| Adverse effects from antifibrotic therapies and resulting poor perceived QoL ^{12,81} | Lack of: 1) Empathy and training in communication; 2) Attention to patient narratives; 3) more therapeutic options; 4) Integrated advanced care planning conversations; 5) MDT support & education | Perform routine needs assessment with good communication skills. Provide patient education & support. Facilitate inclusion of patient narratives. Integrate advanced care planning in specialist care. Provide well-trained care teams. | IPF-PREM: to explore patient and caregiver perceptions of care. HADS ⁴⁵ : to detect depression, anxiety, hopelessness. PESaM questionnaire (awaiting full validation) ⁴⁶ |
| Need for support groups ⁵³ | Lack of: 1) Availability of | Promote access to patient support | PEI, patient activation measure |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | support groups; 2) Information about available support groups | groups. Provide information about available support groups | ^{11,46} : to measure enablement and patient activation of coping |
|--|---|--|---|

PRO: patient reported outcome; PROMs: patient reported outcome measures; PREM: patient reported experiences measures; IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; MYMOP: measure yourself medical outcome profile; SF-36: short form health survey 36; MDT: multidisciplinary team; PC: palliative care; advanced care planning: advanced care planning; NAT:PD- interstitial lung disease : palliative care needs assessment tool in interstitial lung disease; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Questionnaire; UCSD SOB: University of California San Diego Shortness of Breath Questionnaire; LCQ: Leicester Cough Questionnaire; CQLQ: cough quality of life questionnaire; QoL: quality of life; SGRQ-IPF: Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire – IPF; K-BILD: King’s College Brief Interstitial Lung Disease Questionnaire; ATAQ-IPF: the cross Atlantic tool to assess quality of life in IPF; L-IPF: Living with IPF, Tool to assess Quality of Life in IPF; PEI: patient enablement instrument; PESaM: patient experiences and satisfaction with medications questionnaire; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; patient activation measure: Patient satisfaction, empowerment and activation.

Table 2. Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) and Patient Reported Experience Measures (PREMs) in disease progression and End-of-Life

| Patient perspective (PRO) | Pitfalls | How to improve patient pathway | Potential PROMs and PREMs |
|--|---|--|--|
| Suboptimal or missing symptom management and resulting depression ²⁷ | Lack of: 1) recognition of patient experience of disease; 2) training and education in PC; 3) evidence-based guidelines on PC treatment; 4) patient and caregiver reluctance to accept PC | Change philosophy and care delivery models with systematic symptom assessments and early integration of PC. Provide training in PC. Correct misperceptions of PC | NAT: PD- interstitial lung disease ⁵⁶ , MYMOP ⁵⁷ , MSAS ⁸² , UCSD SOB ⁴¹ and cough specific tools: LCQ ⁴³ , CQLQ ⁸³ can detect burden and track changes with therapies |
| Poor perceived and worsened QoL (physical, psychosocial, emotional, spiritual and existential needs) ^{27,58} | Lack of: 1) whole person approach; 2) standardized approach to needs assessment; 3) time to properly assess these needs | Provide strategies to preserve QoL Provide anticipatory guidance, use of symptom action plans. Educational resources for patients and caregiver | K-BILD ³⁸ , ATAQ-IPFca ³⁷ , SGRQ-IPF ³⁶ , L-IPF ³⁹ , NAT: PD- interstitial lung disease ⁵⁶ to assess and monitor QoL. Use of physical activity tracking |
| Significant burden from use of cumbersome oxygen delivery equipment ¹² | Lack of: 1) portable equipment for high flow oxygen delivery; 2) education and support | Optimize oxygen delivery. Include dedicate staff in MDT for patient education & support | MYMOP ⁵⁷ to identify gaps and goals; IPF PREMs for communication and education gaps |
| Lack of psychological support ¹² | Lack of: 1) patient-centered care; 2) dedicated professionals in the MDT | Adopt patient-centered care with adequate MDT staffing | NAT: PD- interstitial lung disease ⁵⁶ (to detect unmet needs) HADS ⁴⁵ and MYMOP ⁵⁷ (depression) |
| Poor EoL care. Poor quality of Dying and death ^{27,75,76} | Lack of: 1) PC approach and delayed referral; 2) clinical guidelines; 3) training in sensitive, patient-centered | Implement end-to-end patient-centered care models Adopt early advanced care planning | NAT: PD- interstitial lung disease ⁵⁶ (for palliative care needs), MSAS ⁸² ,MYMOP ⁵⁷ , L-IPF ³⁹ , K-B interstitial lung |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | communication | Provide education and support to the MDT | disease (to assess QoL and quality of dying) ³⁸ , IPF-PREM (to assess care delivery processes) |
| Caregiver distress and increased burden ²⁷ | Lack of: 1) understanding of the caregiver needs and engagement; 2) anticipatory guidance; 3) action plans and support for caregivers | Recognize caregiver in care Assess needs and provide relevant support Engage advanced care planning early Provide anticipatory guidance and services at home | Caregiver burden survey, to detect needs. ^{49,69} Need to develop tools to track panic episodes at home |

PRO: patient reported outcome; PROMs: patient reported outcome measures; PREM: patient reported experiences measures; NAT:PD- interstitial lung disease : palliative care needs assessment tool in interstitial lung disease; MSAS: memorial symptoms assessment scale; PC: palliative care; MD: multidisciplinary; MYMOP: measure yourself medical outcome profile; IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; K-BILD: King's College Brief Interstitial Lung Disease Questionnaire; ATAQ-IPF: the cross Atlantic tool to assess quality of life in IPF ; SGRQ-IPF: Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire – IPF; L-IPF: Living with IPF- QoL tool; QoL: quality of life; LCQ: Leicester Cough Questionnaire; CQLQ: cough quality of life questionnaire; HADS: hospital anxiety and depression scale; advanced care planning: advanced care planning.

Clinical Significance

- Several unmet needs of patients, caregivers and healthcare providers were identified in the idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patient pathway, from early diagnosis to end-of-life.
- Disease-specific patient reported experience measures (PREMs) and patient reported outcomes measures (PROMs) offer the opportunity to fill the current gaps in the healthcare of these patients.
- A clear understanding of the patient pathway is paramount to implement patient-centered healthcare, research and education in this particular patient group.