

Reale Marco (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1004-8203)

Giorgi Filippo (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2895-5274)

Solidoro Cosimo (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2354-4302)

Di Biagio Valeria (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-6160-1825)

Farneti Riccardo (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7781-6436)

Sannino Gianmaria (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-3985-9432)

The Regional Earth System Model RegCM-ES: Evaluation of the Mediterranean climate and marine biogeochemistry

M.Reale^{1,2}, F. Giorgi¹, C. Solidoro², V. Di Biagio², F. Di Sante^{1,2}, L. Mariotti², R. Farneti¹, G. Sannino³

¹Earth System Physics, Abdus Salam ICTP, 34151, Trieste, Italy ²Istituto nazionale di Oceanografia e Geofisica sperimentale OGS, 34151, Trieste, Italy ³Climate Modelling Laboratory, ENEA, Roma, Italy

Correspondence to: M. Reale (mreale@inogs.it/reale.marco82@gmail.com)

Keywords: Regional Earth System Model, Mediterranean Sea, Net Primary Production, Chlorophyll-a, Nutrients, Dissolved oxygen

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2019MS001812

Key Points:

- A new version of the Earth System Regional Climate Model RegCM-ES is described and validated in the Mediterranean region.
 - The new development of this modeling tool is the possibility to simulate the dynamics of marine ecosystems.
- The model shows good skills in reproducing net primary production, chlorohyll-a and phosphate in the basin
- We observe an overestimation/underestimation of nitrate/oxygen associated with excessive vertical mixing, deficiencies in boundary conditions and solubility computations.

Plain Language Summary: We evaluate the skills of a new version of a regional coupled model in reproducing climate and marine biogeochemistry of the Mediterranean region. We find that the model, despite some persistent biases, is able to capture most key aspects of the regional Mediterranean climate and its marine biogeochemistry.

Abstract

We introduce a new version of the Earth System Regional Climate RegCM-ES model and evaluate its performances for the first time over the Mediterranean region. The novel aspect of this coupled system is the possibility to simulate the dynamics of the marine ecosystem through a biogeochemical model, BFM (Biogeochemical Flux Model), coupled on-line with the ocean circulation model MITgcm (MIT general circulation model). The validation of atmosphere and ocean components has shown that the model is able to capture interannual and inter-monthly variabilities of the atmospheric heat fluxes and spatial patterns of land surface temperature, precipitation, evaporation and sea surface temperature with a general improvement compared to previous versions. At the same time, we diagnosed some prominent deficiencies as a warm and dry bias associated in summer with the resolution of the atmospheric module and the tuning of the boundary layer and convective precipitation scheme. On the biogeochemical side, RegCM-ES shows good skills in reproducing mean values and spatial patterns of net primary production, phosphate and horizontal/vertical patterns of chlorophyll-a. Limitations in this case include deficiencies mainly in the simulation of mean values of nitrate and dissolved oxygen in the basin which have been associated with too large vertical mixing throughout the water column, deficiencies in the boundary conditions and solubility computations.

Overall, RegCM-ES has the potential to become a suitable tool for the analysis of the impacts of climate change on the ocean and marine biogeochemistry in the Mediterranean region and many other domains.

1 Introduction

The Mediterranean region (Fig.1 a,b) is characterized by a complex land-sea distributions and topographic features affecting heavily both atmospheric and oceanic circulations (Lionello et al., 2006a; Artale et al., 2010; Lionello et al., 2012a; Sevault et al., 2014). The presence of the Mediterranean Sea, which acts as a source of moisture and heat for the atmosphere, along with mountain chains along its coastline, peninsulas and islands produces unique atmospheric phenomena such as a well distinct branch of the Northern Hemisphere storm track (Lionello et al., 2016) or the Mistral/Bora winds which blow respectively through the Rhone valley into the Gulf of Lions and over the Northern Adriatic Sea (Artale et al., 2010). The Mediterranean region lies in a transitional zone between two very different climate regimes, the semidesert regime of Northern Africa and the temperate wet regime of central/northern Europe. This makes the Mediterranean a highly sensitive region to global warming, which has in fact been identified as a "hot-spot" for climate change (Giorgi, 2006; Giorgi and Lionello, 2008).

The Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1b) can be divided in two sub-basins, namely the western and eastern basins, separated by the Sicily strait. It is connected through the Gibraltar Strait with the Atlantic Ocean and through the Dardanelles Strait with the Black Sea. Its thermohaline circulation is characterized by the presence of three thermohaline cells (Schroeder et al., 2012 and references therein). The first is an open cell associated with inflow of relatively cold and fresh water at the Gibraltar strait which moves eastwards along the Northern African coastlines, following a cyclonic circulation and undergoing a progressive increase of salinity due to evaporation. In fact, the Mediterranean Sea is mainly an evaporative basin where evaporation exceeds precipitation and river input. This modified (through evaporation) subtropical near-surface Atlantic water undergoes a further increase in salinity and eventually sinks in the area of the Rhodes Gyre and Levantine basin (Schroeder et al., 2012 and references therein) giving rise to an intermediate current located roughly between 200-500 m (the Levantine Intermediate Water) flowing westwards and eventually outflowing into the Atlantic Ocean at the Gibraltar Strait (Schroeder et al, 2012 and references inside). The Levantine Intermediate Water has been recognized as an important driver of the two other closed thermohaline cells. One is located in the western basin and is associated with deep water formation processes taking place in the Gulf of Lions (Schroeder et al., 2012; 2016). The other is located in the eastern basin and is associated with deep water formation processes taking place mainly in the Southern Adriatic (Mantziafou and Lascaratos, 2004; 2008). Model studies and observational evidences have challenged the idea of stationarity in the behavior of these cells (Roether et al., 2007; Beuvier et al., 2010). In particular, the appearance of the Eastern Mediterranean Transient (Roether et al., 2007), with a shift of deep water formations processes from the Southern Adriatic to the Aegean Sea, has suggested the existence of multiple equilibria in the thermohaline cell of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Ashkenazy et al., 2012; Amitai et al, 2016; Reale et al., 2017).

From a biogeochemical point of view the Mediterranean Sea is known as an oligotrophic basin (ultraoligotrophic in its eastern part), characterized by a low-level of productivity compared to the global ocean (Lazzari et al., 2012; 2016) and a clear west-east trophic gradient in productivity (D'Ortenzio and Ribera D'alcalà, 2009; Lazzari et al., 2016). This results from the superposition of a biological pump, the estuarine inverse circulation and the location of sources (rivers, atmospheric deposition, convective and upwelling regions) of nutrients, namely Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) (Crise et al., 1999; Crispi et al., 2001). Subtropical near-surface Atlantic Water is relatively

poor in nutrients and the aforementioned sources are not able to enrich it as it progresses into the basin. Thus, it leaves the area without changing significantly the oligotrophy of the basin (Lazzari et al., 2012; 2016). The only exceptions to this are the areas of the basin (such as Gulf of Lions, Strait of Sicily, Algerian coastlines, Southern Adriatic, Ionian Sea, Aegean Sea and Rhodes Gyre) where strong vertical mixing and upwelling phenomena associated with air-sea interactions and wind stress field enriches the surface with nitrate (NO₃) and phosphate (PO₄) favoring phytoplankton growth (i.e. blooms) mainly in the late winter-early spring (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcala, 2009). A proxy for these blooms in the marine environment is the chlorophyll-a concentration in the upper layer of the basin. Satellite and modeling studies (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcala, 2009; Lazzari et al., 2012) have shown that in the open Mediterranean Sea, chlorophyll-a rarely exceeds 2–3 mg/m³ and is characterized by relatively large values in specific areas where it is strongly linked to physical forcing, such as wind stress and heat fluxes, and near the coast close to river mouths (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcala, 2009; Lazzari et al., 2009; Lazzari et al., 2012).

A realistic representation of the complex air-sea interactions that shape both climate and biogeochemical dynamics of the Mediterranean marine ecosystems requires the use of tools capable of representing the fine scales of these processes, such as coupled Atmosphere-Ocean Regional Climate Models (AORCMs, e.g. Giorgi and Gao, 2018). In an AORCM different models simulate the dynamics of specific components of the climate system, such as atmosphere, ocean, lake, soil, river and marine ecosystems. A coupler manages the integration of the models and the exchanges of information across different sub-components. Over the last years, various high resolution AORCMs have been developed and tested over the Mediterranean region (Somot et al., 2008; Artale et al., 2010; Herrmann et al., 2011; Drobinski et al., 2012; Sevault et al, 2014; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). For example, the Protheus System (Artale et al., 2010), an AORCM composed by the atmospheric model RegCM3 (Pal et al. 2007) and the ocean model MITgcm (Marshall et al., 1997a,b) coupled through the OASIS system, has been shown to realistically reproduce the inter-annual and seasonal variability of Sea Surface Temperature (SST), wind fields and air-sea interactions over the basin. The Morce system (Drobinski et al., 2012), using WRF for the atmosphere (Skamarock et al., 2008) and NEMO for the ocean (Madec, 2008), includes also a module for land vegetation dynamics (ORCHIDEE, Krinner et al., 2005), atmospheric chemistry (CHIMERE, Bessagnet et al., 2008) and two biogeochemical modules (PISCES and Eco3; Aumont et al., 2003; Baklouti et al., 2006a,b). Morce has been employed to analyze the Mediterranean seawater budget, extreme events (intense winds and precipitation) and the impact of vegetation evolution on the water cycle of the Mediterranean region. Sevault et al. (2014) described the performance of the fully coupled system CNRM-RCSM4 which includes a module for the atmosphere (ALADIN, Herrmann et al., 2011), land surface (ISBA, Noilhan and Planton, 1989; Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996), rivers (TRIP model, Oki and Sud, 1998) and ocean (NEMOMED8; Madec, 2008) managed by the OASIS coupler system. This model has shown high skills in reproducing the interannual and decadal variability of air-sea fluxes, river runoff, SST, sea surface salinity (SSS) and the Eastern Mediterranean Transient (Sevault et al., 2014). Finally Turuncoglu and Sannino (2016) developed RegESM, an AORCM composed by RegCM4 for the atmosphere (Giorgi et al., 2012), BATS as land surface scheme (Dickinson et al., 1993), ROMS for the ocean (Shchepetkin and McWilliam, 2005; Haidvogel et al., 2008) and the ESMF coupler (Hill et al., 2004 a,b; Collins et al., 2005).

This study aims at introducing a new version of the Earth System Regional Climate Model RegCM-ES (Sitz et al., 2017) as applied to the Mediterranean basin. RegCM-ES includes RegCM4 (Regional Climate Model; Giorgi et al., 2012) as atmospheric module, CLM4.5 (Community Land Model, Oleson et al., 2010) as land surface scheme, MITgcm (MIT general circulation model, Marshall et al., 1997a,b) as ocean component and a river routing scheme, HD (Hydrological Discharge Model, Hagemann and Dumenil, 1998, 2001). Earlier versions of RegCM-ES were tested over different CORDEX regions (Giorgi et al., 2009) such as: the South Atlantic (Barreiro et al., 2018), Central America, South Asia (Di Sante et al., 2019) and in the Tropical Band configuration (Sitz et al., 2017). The new version of RegCM-ES presented in this study, including an updated version of RegCM4, offers the possibility to simulate the dynamics of marine ecosystems through a biogeochemical module, BFM (Biogeochemical Flux Model, Vichi et al., 2015), coupled online to the ocean model MITgcm (Fig. 2a). Here we assess this model version against available observations for a suite of both physical and biogeochemical variables using a multi-year simulation driven at the lateral boundaries by ERA-interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011).

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce the new version of RegCM-ES and describe its setup for the Mediterranean region. In section 3 we evaluate its performance in the Mediterranean region against observational and modelled datasets. Conclusions are summarized in section 4.



Figure 1: (a) RegCM4 domain and topography (in m) over the Mediterranean region. (b) Ocean model bathymetry (in m) and the river locations defined in the model (cyan squares).

2 The Regional Earth System Model RegCM-ES

The modelling framework of RegCM-ES (Fig. 2a) includes as atmospheric component RegCM4 (Giorgi et al., 2012), the CLM4.5 as land surface scheme (Oleson et al., 2010), the MITgcm as ocean component (Marshall et al.,1997a,b), HD as river routing model (Hagemann and Dumenil, 1998, 2001) and the BFM model (Vichi et al., 2015) for the marine biogeochemistry. Table 1 summarizes the setup and main parameters for the numerical experiments presented in this work.



Figure 2: (a) Scheme of RegCM-ES: RegCM.4.6.1 is the regional climate model which includes CLM 4.5 as land surface scheme; MITgcm is the ocean general circulation model which includes, through the BFMcoupler package, the coupling with the BFM model for the marine biogeochemistry; HD is the river discharge model; ESMF is the driver, which manages the time integration of each module and the interpolations and exchanges of forcing fields. (b) Simulated values by RegCM-ES of downward short wave radiation (blue, in W/m²) and PAR (green, in μ E m⁻² s⁻¹) at the top of the water column at the center of the Mediterranean Sea in a numerical test of approximately 7 days.

2.1 Model structure and experimental setup

The RegCM4 (Giorgi et al., 2012) system is based on the primitive equations mesoscale model MM5 developed at the National Centre for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) and Pennsylvania State University (Grell et al., 1994). It is a compressible and sigma-p vertical coordinate model which uses an Arakawa B-grid to horizontally stagger wind and thermodynamical variables (Giorgi et al., 2012). We employ here the version 4.6.1 which has been developed on the basis of versions 4.4.5/4.5 used and validated in Giorgi et al., (2012) and Sitz et al., (2017). The domain of the atmospheric module covers approximately the Med-Cordex domain (Ruti et al, 2014), which encompasses the entire Mediterranean Sea basin (Fig. 1a). The atmospheric grid has a horizontal resolution of 30km on a Lambert conformal projection, with the domain centered at 19E and 43N, and 23 vertical sigma levels. The model is used in its hydrostatic configuration. Initial and lateral boundary conditions for wind, sea level pressure, air temperature, and specific humidity at 6-hourly frequency are derived from the ERA-Interim reanalysis at 0.75° resolution (Dee et al., 2011). Lateral boundary conditions are implemented with a buffer zone width of 16 grid points, using an exponential relaxation procedure (Giorgi et al., 1993). The model uses the Zeng Ocean Air-Sea scheme (Zeng et al., 1998) to parametrize air-sea exchanges. We selected the following parameterization schemes of RegCM4: the Holtslag scheme for planetary boundary layer representation (Holtslag et al., 1990), the Subex scheme for large scale precipitation (Subgrid Explicit Moisture Scheme, Pal et al., 2000), the Tiedtke parametrization for cumulus convection (Tiedtke et al., 1998) and the CCM3 radiative transfer scheme (Kiehl et al., 1996) as modified by Giorgi et Mearns (1999) for radiative transfer calculations. For the representation of land surface processes RegCM4 uses CLM 4.5 (Oleson et al., 2010), a state-of-the-art land surface model which can be employed to describe dynamic vegetation processes, carbon and nitrogen cycle along with hydrology (Oleson et al., 2010). Although CLM4.5 allows the user to run the model using either a prescribed

phenology which evolves in time according to IPCC guidelines or a dynamical vegetation, due to the relative short length of the simulation we prefer to use a prescribed phenology based on MODIS data (Lawrence and Chase, 2007). In our experiments we do not consider the contribution of aerosol and gas chemistry. The timestep of RegCM is equal to 30 s.

The MITgcm (Marshall et al., 1997a, b) is a primitive equation ocean model based on the Boussinesq approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations. It can be run on different types of grids (e.g. cartesian, spherical and cylindrical) with a z-vertical level discretization. It uses a finite element volume approach and partial cells to treat complex geometries, in either hydrostatic or non-hydrostatic configurations. The resulting horizontal and vertical velocities components are staggered on a Arakawa C and Lorenz grid. The code version employed is the 65s which has been modified with respect to Sitz et al., (2017) to include some additional subroutines and a new package called BFMcoupler to allow the coupling between MITgcm and BFM (Cossarini et al., 2016). The domain of the ocean model covers approximately the Mediterranean Sea plus a (closed) buffer zone in the Atlantic Ocean (both shown in Fig. 1b). The Black Sea and Marmara Sea are not included in the domain. The domain has been discretized using a curvilinear grid and has a horizontal resolution of 1/12° in both zonal and meridional directions, which translates approximately 9 km in the horizontal, and the model can be considered eddy permitting, being the Rossby radius approximately 10 km in the basin. In the vertical the model has 75 unevenly distributed levels. The parametrizations used in our simulations are similar to those used in the MedMIT12 experiment described in Harzallah et al. (2016), Llasses et al. (2016), Reale et al. (2017), Cusinato et al. (2018). The model employs a linear free surface for the upper boundary of the domain, the GGL scheme for vertical mixing and the third order DST (direct space-time) scheme with flux limiter for the advection of both physical and biogeochemical tracers. The timestep of the model is 120 s. As initial conditions, velocities are null across the domain, whereas initial temperature and salinity are given by the mean 3D temperature and salinity from the Rixen dataset for year 1994 (Rixen et al., 2005). The exchanges of water at the Gibraltar Strait are achieved by relaxing (with a relaxation time equal to 2 days) the 3D temperature and salinity fields in the Atlantic buffer zone to an updated version of Levitus monthly climatology (Boyer et al., 2013) as described in Reale et al. (2017) and Cusinato et al. (2018). As additional lateral boundary conditions the model adopts the no-slip conditions at lateral boundaries and at the bottom. Since the ocean domain is closed, its volume balance is controlled by Evaporation (E), Precipitation (P) and river runoff (R). Our model configuration computes at every time step the integral over the basin (excluding the buffer zone in the Atlantic) of the E-P-R flux. This value is subtracted as a freshwater flux in the Atlantic Buffer zone, forcing the conservation of volume in the domain. No further surface fluxes are considered in the Atlantic buffer zone, where the 3D relaxation to temperature and salinity is applied.

The BFM (Vichi et al., 2015) is able to simulate the dynamics of plankton (both phyto and zooplankton), bacteria, oxygen, carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, silica cycles within the marine ecosystem and among trophic levels. It can also be employed to simulate the dynamics of the carbonate system and exchanges of O_2/CO_2 between atmosphere and ocean. BFM has been extensively applied to the study of the dynamics of NO₃, PO₄, Chlorophyll-a and Net primary production (Lazzari et al., 2012; 2016) in the Mediterranean Sea, marine carbon sequestration (Canu et al., 2015), spatial and temporal variability of alkalinity (Cossarini et al., 2015), extreme events in marine biogeochemistry (Di Biagio, 2017), impacts of climate change on the biogeochemical dynamics of marine

ecosystems (Lazzari et al., 2014; Lamon et al., 2014) as well as for biogeochemical projections in the Mediterranean Copernicus system (Teruzzi et al., 2016).

The configuration of the BFM adopted in this work is the version 2. Initial and lateral boundary conditions for the biogeochemical variables at the Gibraltar Strait follow the approach of Di Biagio et al., (2019) for the MedMIT12-BFM system. Initial conditions for dissolved oxygen, nitrate, phosphate and silicate are based on the vertical profiles discussed by Crise et al. (2003) and Manca et al. (2004) (taken from the MEDAR/MEDATLAS dataset, 2002). For the other biogeochemical variables (e.g. plankton groups), the initial conditions values are set to the standard BFM values, in the top 200 m due to the lack of data. Lateral boundary conditions for alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon are derived from the data profiles published by Huertas et al. (2009) and Dafner et al. (2001b) while for dissolved oxygen, phosphate, nitrate and silicate they are obtained from the WORLD OCEAN ATLAS 2013 dataset (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/woa13/). Due to the lack of data the other biogeochemical variables are set equal to the initial conditions. The profiles adopted for the biogeochemical boundary conditions at the Gibraltar Strait do not consider a seasonal cycle or a time evolution. This is because in most cases these profiles and timeseries are not available or are characterized by too large uncertainties in their vertical profiles and magnitude or by the absence of significant differences at the seasonal scale. Future experiments will use more advanced global biogeochemical reanalysis to force the model at the Gibraltar strait. Additional lateral boundary conditions are considered to parametrize the ATI of nutrients, alkalinity, dissolved organic carbon and silicate through atmospheric deposition (only for nitrate and phosphate) and river input (for all of them). Following Di Biagio et al., (2019), the atmospheric deposition of phosphate and nitrate is parametrized as a molar mass rate entering in the surface cells of the ocean model and is set equal to the values tabulated in Ribera d'Alcalà et al. (2003). For the Mediterranean river load, original annual river load data for the Mediterranean basin (including also the Dardanelles straits) are available since 1960 as tabulated by Ludwig et al. (2009) and are converted in molar mass rates entering the surface cells in correspondence of the river mouth, modulated according to a seasonal cycle, as described in Di Biagio et al., (2019).

Finally, to simulate the freshwater fluxes at the land surface we use HD (Hagemann and Dumenil, 1998, 2001) which computes the discharge on a fixed 0.5 resolution global grid with a daily time step. The total outflow from a grid box is given by the sum of three different types of flows: overland, base and river flow (Sein et al., 2015; Sitz et al., 2017). The overland flow uses surface runoff as input and represents the fast flow component within a grid box, the base flow uses the subsurface runoff and represents the slow component, while the river flow represents the inflow in a grid box from the nearby grid boxes (Sein et al., 2015). The HD version adopted here is the same adopted in Sitz et al., (2017). The river discharge values for the Mediterranean rivers are computed online by HD except for the Nile river which are based on the Med-Cordex protocol values. As Black Sea and Marmara Sea are not included in our domain, the inflow of water from the Black Sea is parametrized as river with discharge values based on the monthly climatological net inflow rates available in Kourafalou and Barbopoulos (2003).

Given that observed biogeochemical data for model validation are available only since the mid-'90s (MEDAR, 2002; Crise et al., 2003; Manca et al., 2004; Teruzzi et al., 2016; Lazzari et al., 2012, 2016; Colella et al., 2016), the model is integrated for the period 1994-2006.

Numerical experiments presented here do not consider a spin-up procedure, since performing a spin up for the biogeochemical part of the model on a highly resolved computational grid is extremely challenging (Sein et al., 2015) and because, as observed in previous studies (e.g. Sitz et al., 2017), regional ocean models might benefit from being in a state as close as possible to observations and the use of lateral boundary conditions in a small closed domain as the Mediterranean region imposes a control on the numerical solutions (Sitz at al., 2017). Fig. 3 shows the mean annual temperature and salinity, the mean annual concentration of phosphate, nitrate, chlorophylla, dissolved oxygen and the net primary production at 20, 150, 250 and 500m depths. The initial drifts displayed by all the time series during the first 6 years of simulation (1994-1999) are reduced in the following years. More specifically after 2000 and at the surface, drifts for temperature and salinity are not significant, for phosphate and nitrate are reduced of an order of magnitude and in the case of net primary production, clorophyll-a and dissolved oxygen are reduced by a factor of 5. In the interior drifts are not significant in all the variables, except the oxygen below 150m. In this case there is a steady increase in concentration. This behavior is most probably a consequence of the relative short length of the simulation which does not allow a balance between respiration processes and reoxygenation of the bottom layers through vertical mixing. Net primary production shows relatively small negative values below 200 m. The BFM model computes the net primary production as a difference between phytoplankton gross primary production and phytoplankton respiration/excretion of photosynthesized carbon in conditions of nutrient shortage (Vichi et al., 2015). Due to the attenuation of light with depth below 200m respiration processes prevail over photosynthesis and this explains the negative sign observed in Fig.3.

We thus set, the starting point of our quantitative analysis at year 2000 and we considered only the first top 150 m for the biogeochemistry evaluation. Only for SST we used the entire period 1994-2006.

Accept



Fig.3 Mean annual temperature, salinity and the mean annual concentration of phosphate, nitrate, chlorophyll-a, dissolved oxygen and the net primary production at 20 (blue), 150 (red), 250 (black) and 500m (fuchsia).

| Atmosphere | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Model | RegCM.4.6.1 |
| Land Surface Scheme | CLM 4.5 |
| Configuration | Hydrostatic |
| Horizontal resolution | 30km |
| Vertical Resolution | 23 sigma p levels |

| Time Step | 30 s |
|--|---|
| Convective Scheme over Land/Ocean | Tiedtke / Tiedtke |
| Planetary Boundary layer | Holtslag |
| Resolvable Scale Precipitation scheme | Subex |
| Initial conditions | ERA-Interim 0.75° |
| Lateral boundary conditions | ERA-Interim 0.75° |
| Ocean/Biogeochemistry | |
| Model(s) | MITgcm/BFM |
| Configuration – | Hydrostatic |
| Horizontal resolution | 1/12° |
| Vertical resolution | 75 z-levels |
| Timestep | 120 s (for the physics)/ 600 s (for the biogeochemistry) |
| Vertical mixing | GGL90 |
| Advective scheme for Tracers (physical and biogeochemical) | Third order direct space-time scheme with flux limiter |
| Initial conditions | Rixen climatology for temperature and salinity/MEDAR-MEDA |
| | dataset for dissolved oxygen, NO3, PO4 and silicate /BFM standard |
| | for all the others biogeochemical variables |
| Lateral boundary conditions | Levitus climatology for temperature and salinity/World Ocean Atlas |
| | for dissolved oxygen, phosphate, nitrate and silicate/ Huertas et al. (|
| | and Dafner et al. (2001b) for alkalinity and dissolved inor |
| | carbon/equal to Initial conditions for all the others biogeoche |
| | variables/Atmospheric deposition from Ribera d'Alcala et al, (20 |
| | River biogeochemical input from Ludwig et al., (2009) |
| Hydrology | |
| Model | HD 0.5° together with MedCordex Protocol (only for Nile Ri |
| | Kourafalou and Barbopoulos (2003) (only for Dardanelles strait) |
| Driver | |
| ESMF | |
| Frequency of coupling | 1 hr (Ocean/Atmosphere), 1 day (Atmosphere/River and River/Oce |
| Period of the simulation | 1994-2006 |
| | |

Table 1. RegCM-ES setups and parameters used in this study

2.2 Coupling

D

The coupling procedure in RegCM-ES is the same adopted in Sitz et al., (2017). It relies on a driver which manages the integration of each component and the exchanges of fields among them (Fig. 2a). The driver of the system is

based on ESMF/NUOC libraries and has been extensively described in previous studies (Turuncoglu et al., 2013; Turuncoglu et Sannino, 2016; Sitz et al., 2017). In this configuration it has been modified to allow the inclusion of BFM libraries in the coupling system. Through the driver (Fig 2a) the atmosphere receives every hour the SST from the ocean module. The latter receives, with the same frequency, the following atmospheric variables: total heat fluxes, evaporation minus precipitation, zonal and meridional component of wind speed, atmospheric pressure and downward shortwave radiation. Since all these quantities are discretized on different grids, the driver remaps them on the grid of the receiving model.

The coupling between the ocean model and the marine biogeochemical module occurs every five ocean time steps (thus every 600 s) following a processor splitting scheme described in Cossarini et al. (2016). As shown in Fig. 2a the variation in a certain time interval of a generic biogeochemical variable (dC), can be considered as the sum of two processes: advection/diffusion processes (dC_{phys}) and biogeochemical reactions (dC_{biol}). dC_{phys} is computed by the MITgcm through its tracers package (PTRACERS), whereas dC_{biol} is calculated by the BFM and transferred back to the MITgcm through the BFMcoupler package included in the ocean model code. The two values are then added by the MITgcm through the GCHEM package providing the overall dC. Several processes occurring in the marine environment are influenced by sea temperature and light. Sea temperature regulates all the physiological processes in the water column whereas light is the primary source of energy in the photosynthesis processes operated by phytoplankton. Only a portion (the so-called Photosynthetic Available Radiation or PAR) of the incoming light is used for phytoplankton growth. In BFM, light attenuates with depth according to a Lambert-Beer law with an attenuation coefficient given by water turbidity and suspended/dissolved water components (Vichi et al., 2015). The downward shortwave radiation is used by the ocean model to compute the PAR (Fig. 2b) which is transferred together with the temperature (the effect of salinity on the biogeochemistry are here neglected) to the biogeochemical module through the BFMcoupler.

The river discharge model receives from the land surface module CLM the surface and subsurface runoff once a day. These are then interpolated on the HD 0.5×0.5 grid, where the river catchment is defined. The hydrological model is used then to route the water towards the oceanic mouths where the coupler (Fig.1b) uniformly distributes the runoff as a freshwater flux over the ocean surface and is summed to the precipitation contribution. The runoff does not have a salt concentration value associated and affects the salinity through dilution. A radius of 20 Km has been chosen to calculate the ocean grid points where the runoff is interpolated.

3. Results

3.1 Atmosphere

In order to validate RegCM4 and to assess the effect of the model coupling, runs with (COUPL) and without (ATM) ocean coupling, where SST are obtained from the ERA-Interim reanalysis at 0.75° resolution (Dee et al., 2011) are compared. More specifically we compared patterns and mean values of simulated surface air temperature and precipitation throughout the model domain with two observational datasets: 0.25° CRU (Climate Research Unit, New et al., 2000) and GPCP (Global Precipitation Climatology Project, Huffman et al., 2001).

Fig. 4 shows the seasonal climatology of land surface temperature from the CRU observations and the corresponding biases for ATM and CPL in January-February-March (JFM), April-May-June (AMJ), July-August-September (JAS) and October-November-December (OND). RegCM4 reproduces the observed surface spatial patterns in both simulations in winter and fall, where biases are within +/- 2 °C. The overall bias in the region shown in Fig.4 is 0.07 °C in winter and 0.27°C in fall. However a significant negative bias is still present over the mountains chains (Alps, Dinaric Alps, Pyrenees, Anatolian peninsula), at least partially associated with the prevalence of valley observing stations and topography resolution (Giorgi et al., 2012; Sein et al., 2015; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). In this regard, the RegCM4 configuration used here significantly reduces the magnitude of cold biases over the Iberian peninsula, Southern Italy, Greece and Northern Africa in both JFM and OND (not shown), as compared to the RegCM3 system adopted by Artale et al., (2010) and (in absolute value) the cold biases of 2°C reproduced in the region in the RegCM.4.4.5. An average warm bias of 2.2°C is found in summer, with local peaks exceeding 4°C over large portion of the region, mostly associated with a negative precipitation bias (Fig. 5) as described in other studies (Turuncoglu et al, 2013; Turunconglu and Sannino, 2016). The annual-mean bias over the region with respect to CRU data set is equal to 1°C for both ATM and CPL simulations.

Fig. 5 shows the seasonal climatology of GPCP precipitation (first column) and associated biases in the ATM (second column) and CPL (third column) simulations. Simulated spatial patterns and magnitude of precipitation are consistent with observations, despite the fact that the model tends to overestimate precipitation over the high topography (as observed in Giorgi et al., 2012), especially in winter and fall and over the Mediterranean Sea in winter. This bias over land, however, may be artificially amplified by the lack of an undercatch gauge correction in the observational data. On the other hand the model tends to underestimate precipitation in summer, as noted in previous studies (Fantini et al., 2018), over Eastern Europe, the Adriatic Sea and over land in fall. Furthermore, an increase/decrease of the precipitation biases over the Aegean Sea and Rhodes gyre area/Southern East Mediterranean Basin is observed in the CPL simulation during the fall. A comparison of ATM and CPL shows that the effect of coupling on precipitation is not large, but the coupling slightly improves the representation of precipitation in winter and fall over the Southern East Mediterranean Sea. At the annual scale the average bias over the region between both simulations and GCPC data is -0.26 mm day⁻¹. However the magnitude of this bias could be underestimated because of the aforementioned lack of an undercatch gauge correction in the observational data.

We identified the origin of the warm and dry biases found in all simulations in the Holtslag scheme adopted to parametrize the boundary layer and in the Tiedtke scheme used to parametrize the convection. The former tends to overestimate the vertical transport of heat in stable and dry conditions (Turuncoglu et al., 2013) as in summer and spring and to underestimate the cloud cover giving rise to higher temperatures at the surface. The latter depends on the two parameters dealing with the entrainment rate for convection over land and over the ocean and the conversion coefficient for the cloud cover. These values are, in our configuration, probably too low to proper reproduce convective precipitation over the region in both summer and spring when these phenomena are more frequent (Miglietta et al., 2017).

Fig. 6 a,e show the temporal evolution of the four different components of the heat budget (net shortwave, net longwave, latent and sensible heat fluxes) and precipitation compared with two observational dataset: OAflux (Ocean-Atmosphere flux, Yu et al., 2008) and NOCS (National Oceanography Centre Southampton Version 2.0, Berry and Kent, 2011). Both datasets have been averaged over the Mediterranean Sea. The spread of the observational curves represents the uncertainty associated with the two observational datasets. Table 2 shows the mean values of the four components of the heat budget computed for the period 1994-2006. We limited the analysis of the heat fluxes over the Mediterranean Sea as they represent the forcing of the ocean model.

Both the ATM and CPL simulations show a similar mean net shortwave flux of 206 W/m² over the period 1994-2006, overestimating observations by about 30 W/m^2 when compared with OA. This value has been found to be in the range of NOCS data set ([164;210], Table 2). This value is also comparable with that found in RegESM (Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016), and about 10 W/m² larger than what found in CNRM-RCSM4 (196 W/m², Sevault et al., 2014). This overestimation is particularly pronounced in spring and summer (more than 40 W/m², not shown), and it is maximum along the Northern African coastlines and over the northern Western Mediterranean, Adriatic and Aegean Sea (not shown; Sevault et al., 2014; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). The observed overestimation of the shortwave has been associated mainly with the underestimation of cloud cover over the region (not shown) in spring and summer derived from the use of the Holtslag scheme for the boundary layer and secondarily, with the use of the Era-interim lateral boundary conditions for the atmosphere, given that also the reanalysis product is characterized by a general overestimation of net shortwave radiation (Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). Both the ATM and CPL (Fig. 6b) have similar values of net long wave radiation (-77 W/m²), which is a bit larger than the value from the OA dataset (-70 W/m^2) and in good agreement with the net long wave estimate of Sanchez-Gomez et al. (2011) based on ISCCP2 dataset ($-76 \pm 4 \text{ W/m^2}$). The values found show a slight improvement with respect to those found in RegESM and CNRM-RCSM4 which in both cases fall in the interval [-81;-82] W/m² (Sevault et al., 2014; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). The mean latent heat loss (Fig.6c) is -117.22 W/m² for ATM and -116.54 W/m² for CPL, i.e. -30 W/m² larger than the values from OA ([-96;-78] W/m^2) and in the range of observations of NOCS ([-122;-44] W/m^2). Note that the ATM is in better agreement with observations than that found in RegESM (-121 W/m², Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016) and the ALADIN standalone simulation used in CNRM-RCSM4 (-120 W/m², Sevault et al., 2014). On the other hand the value found in CPL is slightly higher than that computed in RegESM (-110 W/m², Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016) and CNRM-RCSM4 (-108 W/m², Sevault et al. 2014). When compared to ATM, CPL shows a slight improvement in the latent heat loss flux estimation over the basin due to a better consistency between SST and atmospheric fluxes (Sevault et al., 2014). This finding is further supported by Fig. 7, which shows the seasonal climatology of the latent heat flux for observations (OA, first column) along with the biases for ATM (second column) and CPL (third column) experiments. Although both models overestimate the evaporation over the basin, mainly over Western Mediterranean, the coupling improves the simulation of evaporation over the Eastern Mediterranean in winter and spring and over most of the basin in fall. In summer, CPL is more evaporative than ATM over most of the Western Mediterranean. In summer and fall CPL is characterized by a decrease of latent heat flux over the Northern part of the eastern basin and close to the Turkish coastlines. Finally, the mean sensible heat flux (Fig. 5d) is equal to -13.18 W/m² for ATM and -12.56 W/m² for the CPL, which falls in the interval of OA ([-16;-10]

 W/m^2) and NOCS ([-17;2] W/m^2) values, when taking into account the uncertainties associated with the datasets. We note that overall, and despite the biases discussed above, the model is capable of reproducing the seasonal and interannual variability of the quantities analyzed.

The heat fluxes shown in Fig. 6 determine the total heat budget at the surface which, for the Mediterranean Sea, is negative and balanced by the inflow of Atlantic Water at the Gibraltar strait (Sevault et al., 2014 and references therein). Although it is not possible to compare the values of our budgets with long term estimates provided in the scientific literature due to the short length of our simulation, the value of the heat budget in CPL is equal to -0.26 W/m^2 which falls in the interval [-10;0] W/m^2 for the period 1985-2004 discussed in Sevault et al. (2014) and references therein. The net inflow at the Gibraltar strait has been estimated for the period 2000-2006 equal to 0.07 Sv which falls in the interval of 0.04-0.1 Sv provided in Beuvier et al., (2010) and discussed in Sevault et al., (2014). Again due to the limited length of the simulation it is not possible to provide a deeper insight into the water balance of the basin.



Figure 4: Seasonal climatology of observed (CRU) land surface temperature (first column, a, d, g, l) in JFM (first row), AMJ (second row), JAS (third row) and OND (fourth row) at 2 m and the differences of model simulations RegCM4 (ATM, second column) and RegCM-ES (CPL, third column) in the period 1994-2006. Units are °C





Figure 5: as in Figure 4 but for observed (GPCP) precipitation. Units are mm/day.



Figure 6: Time series of net monthly averaged (over the Mediterranean Sea) heat fluxes and precipitation: net shortwave (a), net longwave (b), latent (c), sensible (d) and precipitation (e) in the period 1994-2006: ATM (blue line), CPL (red line), OA (gray line) and NOCS (green line) and GPCP (cyan line). Uncertainties in the observations are represented by the spread of the average curves. Units are W/m² and mm/day.

15.

| Data | Net ShortWave | Net LongWave | Latent Heat Flux | Sensible Heat Flux |
|------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | (in W/m ²) | (in W/m ²) | (in W/m ²) | (in W/m²) |
| T | | | | |

| ATM | 206.29 | -77.08 | -117.22 | -13.18 |
|--------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CPL | 206.85 | -77.73 | -116.54 | -12.56 |
| OAflux | 172.24 | -70.54 | -87[-96;-78] | -12.79[-16;-10] |
| NOC | 187[164;210] | -58[-66;-50] | -83[-122;-44] | -7.56[-17;2] |

Table 2: Mean value over the Mediterranean Sea (in W/m^2) in the period 1994-2006 of net shortwave, net longwave, latent, sensible heat fluxes in ATM, CPL and Observations (NOC, OA). Numbers between brackets are the range of values of the reference datasets considering the uncertainties in the observations. Units are in W/m^2

D D

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.



Figure 7: as in Figure 4 but for observed (OA) net latent heat flux. Units are in W/m^2

3.2. Ocean and Biogeochemistry

3.2.1 Oceanic variables

Fig. 8 shows the seasonal climatology of SST according to MyOcean (Oddo et al., 2009; Pinardi et al., 2015) and RegCM-ES, along with associated biases, in JFM, AMJ, JAS and OND. RegCM-ES reproduces well the SST spatial pattern in the basin with a clear north south gradient reflecting the spatial gradient in the short-wave radiation. RegCM-ES tends to overestimate SST with respect to MyOcean mainly in spring and summer, especially over the western basin, a bias mostly likely due to the overestimation of short-wave fluxes during these seasons. Another contribution could originate from the coarse resolution of the atmospheric model (30 km) which is not able to reproduce correctly the intensity of local winds such as Mistral that has a cooling effect (Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). Conversely, RegCM-ES has a negative bias (with a peak of -2°C) with respect to MyOcean in the eastern basin and in particular around the Cyprus area. Here, as shown in Turuncoglu and Sannino (2016), the intensity of winds simulated by the coupled model tends to be excessively strong, giving rise to colder than observed SST. Moreover, the colder SST in this area explain the strong positive and negative biases in evaporation and precipitation, respectively, during the fall (Fig. 5 and Fig.7). In Fig. 10 and Table 3 we compare SST and SSS mean values and variability in the Mediterranean Sea with some available observational and reanalysis datasets and estimations for the region (e.g. Sevault et al., 2014; Pinardi et al., 2015; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016), namely: the gridded Satellite SST field based on AVHRR measurements, covering the period 1981-2014 and described by Marullo et al., (2007), Nardelli et al. (2013) and Pisano et al. (2016); salinity and temperature dataset EN4, covering the period 1900-2015 and provided by the U.K. Met Office (Good et al., 2013); CMEMS, which covers the period 1955-2014 (Oddo et al., 2009; Adani et al., 2011; Fratianni et al., 2015) and MyOcean, which covers the period 1987-2015 (Oddo et al., 2009; Pinardi et al., 2015).

As shown in Table 3, the mean value of SST in the Mediterranean Sea during the period 1994-2006 is 19.81 °C which is comparable with the values observed in the satellite dataset (19.7 °C) and slightly colder with respect to EN4 estimates (20.16°C) and in the two reanalysis products (20.26°C and 20.14°C for CMEMS and MyOcean respectively). Taking into account the uncertainties in each dataset the value reproduced by RegCM-ES falls in the range of values of the satellite dataset ([19.2;20.15] °C), EN4 ([19.5;20.8] °C, MyOcean ([19.5;20.8] °C) and CMEMS ([19.4;21.1] °C). Thus, on the basin scale, RegCM-ES is characterized by an improvement in the SST biases from previous studies using Regional Earth System Models over the Mediterranean Sea (e.g. Artale et al., 2010; Sevault et al., 2014; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). For example, the annual-mean bias between CNRM-RCSM4 and EN4 and CNRM-RCSM4 and the satellite dataset is -0.92 °C and -0.60 °C (Sevault et al., 2014) whereas in our case they are -0.35 °C and 0.1 °C respectively. In JFM (table 3), at the whole basin scale, RegCM-ES is characterized by a cold bias of -0.3° C with respect to observations. This means a reduction in bias of ~1°C bias with respect to Protheus (Artale et al, 2010) and RegESM (Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). In JAS, RegCM-ES tends to be slightly colder with respect to EN4, CMEMS and MyOcean (approximately [-0.2;-0.5] °C) and slightly warmer than satellite data (0.5 °C). From this point of view the performance of RegCM-ES in JAS and OND is comparable with that of Protheus and RegESM. Fig.10 a,b shows that RegCM-ES captures both the interannual and seasonal variability of SST in the basin, as well as the SST maximum that occurred during the long heat wave of summer 2003 and the two minima observed during the cold winters of 2004-05 and 2005-2006 (Fig 10a). Concerning the seasonal cycle, RegCM-ES captures the SST maximum in August and minimum in February, whose values are again in agreement with the reference data (Fig. 10b).

Fig. 9 shows the corresponding analysis for SSS. RegCM-ES is again able to capture the spatial patterns of SSS compared to the MyOcean reanalysis. On the other hand, at the basin scale the coupled model shows an overestimation of SSS of about 0.25 and 0.38 psu with respect to the MyOcean and CMEMS reanalysis and 0.17 psu with respect to the EN4 observations. Specifically, the basin-mean value of SSS (Table 3) in the period 2000-2006 is equal to 38.47 psu, while for MyOcean and CMEMS the computed values are respectively equal to 38.22 and 38.10 psu, and 38.30 psu for EN4. Similar overestimations are also observed in JFM (approximately 0.15 psu with respect to EN4 and 0.2-0.3 psu with respect to CMEMS and MyOcean) and are more pronounced in JAS (approximately 0.19 psu with respect to EN4 and 0.3/0.4 psu with respect to CMEMS and MyOcean).

RegCM-ES is characterized by positive biases in the Adriatic Sea, in the Western Mediterranean and the Ionian Sea. These overestimations, particularly in summer, can have different origins (Sevault et al., 2014; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016), and include the underestimation of the river runoff computed by HD due to the relative coarse model grid (not shown here, Di Sante et al., 2019), the underestimation of precipitation over the Adriatic Sea in summer and over part of the basin in fall (as shown in Fig. 5) and the overestimation of both evaporation and shortwave flux over the Western Mediterranean. Fig. 10c compares the RegCM-ES SSS monthly time series and annual cycle with the reference datasets. The model shows lower variability than observations (especially EN4) and, despite the aforementioned general overestimation, a good simulation of the annual SSS cycle.

Accepted



Figure 8: Seasonal climatology of MyOcean SST (first column) in JFM (first row), AMJ (second row), JAS (third row) and OND (fourth row), RegCM-ES SST (second column) and the related biases (third column) in the period 1994-2006. Units are in °C.





Figure 9: As in Figure 8 but for SSS for the period 2000-2006. Units are in psu

Ì



Figure 10: Time series of net monthly averaged (first column) and annual cycle (second column) of SST (first row, period 1994-2006) and SSS (second row, 2000-2006: RegCM-ES (magenta), EN4 (blue), CMEMS (green) and MyOcean (cyan). Satellite SST are shown in red. Units are in °C and psu respectively.



| Data | SST | JFM SST | JAS SST | SSS | JFM SSS | JAS SSS |
|----------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| RegCM-ES | 19.8 | 15. | 25.5 | 38.47 | 38.42 | 38.55 |
| EN4 | 20.16[19.5;20.8] | 15.3[14.7;16] | 25.7[25.1;26.3] | 38.30[38.11;38.47] | 38.27 [38.1;38.45] | 38.36[38.13;38.5 |
| MyOcean | 20.14[19.5;20.8] | 15.3[14.7;16] | 25.7[25.1;26.4] | 38.22[38.02;38.42] | 38.2[38;38.4] | 38.3[38.08;38.48 |

| 20.26[19.4;21.1] | 15.3[14.7;16.1] | 26.02[25.2;26.84] | 38.1[37.84;38.34] | 38.12[37.86;38.37] | 38.11[37.86;38.3 |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 0 | | | | | |
| 19.7[19.2;20.15] | 15.1[14.6;15.7] | 24.94[24.5;25.3] | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | 20.26[19.4;21.1] 19.7[19.2;20.15] | 20.26[19.4;21.1] 15.3[14.7;16.1] 19.7[19.2;20.15] 15.1[14.6;15.7] | 20.26[19.4;21.1] 15.3[14.7;16.1] 26.02[25.2;26.84] 19.7[19.2;20.15] 15.1[14.6;15.7] 24.94[24.5;25.3] | 20.26[19.4;21.1] 15.3[14.7;16.1] 26.02[25.2;26.84] 38.1[37.84;38.34] 19.7[19.2;20.15] 15.1[14.6;15.7] 24.94[24.5;25.3] | 20.26[19.4;21.1] 15.3[14.7;16.1] 26.02[25.2;26.84] 38.1[37.84;38.34] 38.12[37.86;38.37] 19.7[19.2;20.15] 15.1[14.6;15.7] 24.94[24.5;25.3] |

Table 3: Annual, winter (JFM) and summer (JAS) mean value for SST (period 1994-2006) and SSS (2000-2006) over the Mediterranean Sea in RegCM-ES and available observational/reanalysis products (EN4, SST from satellite, CMEMS and MyOcean). The values between brackets are the range of values of the reference datasets considering the uncertainties of the observations. Units are in °C and psu.

Fig. 11a shows the mean dynamic sea surface height (SSH) and the mean circulation at 25 m (a) in the Mediterranean Sea for the period 2000-2006. The patterns for both variables are in good agreement with the results of previous studies (e.g. Sevault et al., 2014; Pinardi et al., 2015; Turuncoglu andSannino, 2016). RegCM-ES reproduces the flow of Atlantic Water through the Gibraltar Strait, the two anticyclonic gyres in the Alboran Sea and the Algerian current along the Northern Africa coastline. As shown in Fig. 11a, the Algerian current splits in two branches: one enters the Tyrrhenian Sea whereas the other keeps flowing through the Sicily Channel then along the African coastlines reaching the Eastern Mediterranean and Anatolian coastlines, where it contributes to the Rhodes Gyre. In the Western Mediterranean, RegCM-ES captures the negative SSH signal and the associated cyclonic circulation in the area of the Gulf of Lions and in the Southern Adriatic, both markers of deep water formation processes (e.g. Sevault et al., 2014; Pinardi et al., 2015; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). Fig. 11b shows the mean circulation and current speed at 200 m. High values of current speed are shown south of Rhodes, in the Sicily Strait (Pierini and Rubino, 2001), along the African coastline (Pierini and Rubino, 2001), in the Southern Adriatic, along the Gulf of Lions and towards the Gibraltar strait (as shown in Pinardi et al., 2015; Turuncoglu and Sannino, 2016). In summary, this analysis shows that RegCM-ES is able to capture realistically the main features of the Mediterranean Sea surface and intermediate circulation.



©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

Figure 11: Mean sea surface height (SSH, contours) and the near-surface/intermediate circulation field (at 25 m and 200 m, arrows) as simulated by RegCM-ES in the period 2000-2006. Units are in m for SSH and m/s for the velocity field.

3.2.2 Biogeochemical variables

Table 4 compares the annual net primary production integrated over the top 200 m as simulated by RegCM-ES for the period 2000-2006 with the available estimates. The net primary production is the rate of photosynthetic carbon fixation minus the fraction of fixed carbon used for cellular respiration (Boyd et al, 2014) and is one of the fundamental processes in the marine ecosystem. The simulation of this process is one of the greatest challenges in biogeochemical modeling. There are large differences in the values estimated by different models and observations are characterized by pronounced uncertainties (Sein et al., 2015). Estimations shown in Table 4 are based on satellite products, in-situ data and modelled datasets. The latter are taken from the hindcasts MED16/OGSTM-BFM (Lazzari et al., 2012), MedMIT12-BFM (Di Biagio et al., 2019) and CMEMS-BIO (Teruzzi et al., 2016). In particular CMEMS-BIO assimilates chlorophyll-a satellite data in the first 10 m of the domain. The values in Table 4 represent averages over the full Mediterranean Sea and over the sub-domains defined in Lazzari et al. (2012). The mean annual net primary production in RegCM-ES (with the associated spatial standard deviation) across the Mediterranean Sea is 119 ± 20 gC/m²/year, with higher values in the western basin (122 ± 51 gC/m²/year) compared to the eastern Basin (116 ± 18 gC/m²/year). These differences are consistent with the West-East gradient in net primary production found in previous studies (Lazzari et al., 2012; Teruzzi et al., 2016; Di Biagio et al., 2019) and reported in Table 4. RegCM-ES tends to show lower values of net primary production in the Alboran Sea and the North Western Mediterranean compared to MED16/OGSTM-BFM, MedMIT12-BFM, CMEMS-BIO and SAT2. In the same regions, the RegCM-ES values are in the range of the simulated and observational averages produced by Allen et al. (2002) and Marty and Chiaverini (2002). In South Western Mediterranean, the RegCM-ES net primary production (133±15 gC/m²/year) is in good agreement with the OGSTM-BFM value of 140 gC/m^2 /year. On the other hand, the mean integrated primary production over the Tyrrhenian Sea and Ionian Sea, 128 ± 16 gC/m²/year and 121 ± 151 gC/m²/year, respectively, is higher than in MedMIT12-BFM (109 and 99 gC/m²/year respectively) and MED16/OGSTM-BFM (97 and 77 gC/m²/year respectively), but in better agreement with CMEMS-BIO (152 gC/m²/year for Tyrrhenian and 115 gC/m²/year for the Ionian) and Allen et al. (2002). Finally the mean net primary production value simulated in the Levantine Basin by RegCM-ES, 111± 18 gC/m²/year, is in good agreement with estimate provided by CMEMS (117 gC/m²/year) and in the range estimated by Allen et al. (2002) (36-158 gC/m²/year). Considering the large variability in the available estimates, RegCM-ES reproduces realistic means and west-east gradient of integrated net primary production over the Mediterranean basin.

| | Mediterra nean Sea | Western Mediterrane an | Eastern Mediterra nean | Albora n Sea | South Western Mediterran ean | North Western Mediterra nean | Tyrrenian | Ionian | Levantine |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| RegCM-ES | 119±19 | 122±57 | 116±18 | 120±38 | 133±15 | 109±15 | 128±14 | 121±15 | 111±18 |
| MedMIT12- BFM | 104 | 120 | 97 | 151 | 120 | 120 | 109 | 99 | 94 |

| MED16/OGST M-BFM | 98 | 131 | 76 | 274 | 140 | 116 | 92 | 77 | 76 |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CMEMS-BIO | 136 | x | x | 214 | 170 | 164 | 152 | 115 | 117 |
| 01 M 11 | 205(i) | 120 ^(e) | 56 ^(e) | 24.207(f) | | <i>32-273^(f)</i> | | 27.152(f) | 97 ^(h) |
| Other Models | 205(*) | 190 ⁽ⁱ⁾ | $220^{(i)}$ | 24-20707 | x | 175-192 ^(g) | x | 27-15307 | <i>36-158^(f)</i> |
| SATI | 68 | 79 | 61 | 105 | x | 80 | 67 | 61-63 | 59-60 |
| SAT2 | 90 | 112 | 86 | 179 | 107 | 115 | 90 | 79 | 72 |
| SAT3 | 135 | 163 | 121 | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| L. Cita | 80.00(<i>a</i>) | 1 | | | | 86-232 ^(b) | | 62 (<i>d</i>) | |
| าก รแน | 00-90 ^m | x | X | X | X | 140-150 ^(c) | X | 02." | X |

Table 4: Horizontal means of the 0-200 m integrated net primary production (in units of gC /m²/yr) in the Mediterranean Sea and related sub-basins as annual climatologies: according to RegCM-ES (with the spatial standard deviation), other models and reference data. MedMIT12-BFM (Di Biagio et al., 2019), MED16/OGSTM-BFM (Lazzari et al., 2012), CMEMS (Teruzzi et al., 2016), SAT1 (Uitz et al., 2012), SAT2 (Colella, 2007), SAT3 (Bosch et al., 2004). In situ and Others models: (a) Sournia (1973), (b) Marty and Chiaverini (2002), (c) Conan et al., (1998), (d) Boldrin et al., (2002), (e) Crispi et al., (2002), (f) Allen et al., (2002), (g) Kessouri et al., (2018), (h) Napolitano et al., (2000), (i) Mattia et al., (2013).

Fig. 12 and Table 5 compare mean surface chlorophyll-a (averaged over the first 10m) simulated by RegCM-ES and averaged over the period 2000-2006 with MED16/OGSTM-BFM (b, averaged over the period 1998-2004), CMEMS-BIO (c, averaged over the period 2000-2006) and ESA satellite data (d, averaged over the period 2000-2006; Colella et al., 2016). The quantitive comparison in Table 5 includes also the modelled dataset based on MedMIT12-BFM (Di Biagio et al., 2019). Chlorophyll-a concentration is a marker for phytoplankton abundance and dynamics (e.g. Geider et al., 1997), which regulates the food chain of the marine ecosystems and modulates the CO₂/O₂ concentration in the marine environment along with the exchanges with the overlying atmosphere. It is strongly dependent on sunlight/nutrients availability, with the latter depending on ocean vertical mixing and air-sea interactions. Chlorophyll-a is widely used and analyzed in many recent studies related to the biogeochemical modeling of the Mediterranean Sea (Mattia et al., 2013; Lazzari et al., 2016; Teruzzi et al., 2016; Valenti et al., 2017; Di Biagio, 2017; Richon et al., 2017, 2018, 2019; Di Biagio et al., 2019) due to the availability in the basin of both observational and modelled datasets.

RegCM-ES captures the west–east trophic gradient that characterizes the Mediterranean marine ecosystem, whose existence was emphasized in previous studies (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà, 2009; Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010; Lazzari et al., 2012; Mattia et al., 2013; Richon et al., 2017, 2018; Salgado Hernanz et al., 2018; Richon et al., 2019; Di Biagio et al., 2019). On the other hand, compared to the CMEMS-BIO and satellite data, it underestimates the signal of chlorophyll-a at the mouth of the Mediterranean rivers and in the area of Gulf of Gabes. The source of this bias is traced to the lack of the model in nutrient discharge from coastal runoff in these regions and to a systematic positive bias which affects chlorophyll-a satellite data in coastal regions associated with the presence of particulate matter (for instance, sediments) in the water column (Richon et al., 2019). RegCM-ES captures the maximum of chlorophyll-a in the gulf of Lions where, in the extended winter season JFMA, the simulated mean surface chl-a is equal to 0.31 mgChl/m³, comparable to 0.30 mgChl/m³ as observed in CMEMS-BIO, as well as along the Adriatic coastline and in the Alboran Sea (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà 2009, Lazzari

et al, 2012; Mattia et al., 2013; Richon et al., 2017; Di Biagio, 2017; Salgado Hernanz et al., 2018; Richon et al., 2018, 2019; Di Biagio et al., 2019). The signal observed in the Alboran Sea has been associated with the circulation pattern in the area (shown in Fig. 11a), characterized by strong vertical velocities, which enriches the surface layer with nutrients triggering phytoplankton growth (Lazzari et al., 2012). The RegCM-ES chlorophyll-a signal observed in the gulf of Lions fits in shape and size the minimum of SSH found over the area in Fig. 11a, confirming the importance of vertical mixing in enriching the upper layer of nutrients and driving the phytoplankton dynamics.

However, RegCM-ES generally tends to overestimate the chlorophyll-a signal at the basin scale, in the Sicily Channel, Western Mediterranean (both Western and Southern part), Tyrrhenian, Ionian, and Levantine basin (Table 5). In the Sicily channel the same maximum was observed in previous works (as Lazzari et al., 2012; Mattia et al., 2013; Di Biagio, 2017; Di Biagio et al., 2019) and was associated with enhanced vertical transport simulated by the model which enriches the surface with nutrients. The signal observed in the Western Mediterranean is likely associated with the overestimation of limiting phytoplankton growth nutrients (PO₄ and NO₃) concentration in the water masses of the area with respect to CMEMS-BIO dataset (as shown in Table 5).



Figure 12: Mean chlorophyll-a in the first 10m in RegCM-ES (a, averaged over the period 2000-2006), MED16/OGSTM-BFM (b, Lazzari et al., 2012, averaged over the period 1998-2004), CMEMS (c, averaged over the period 2000-2006) and ESA satellite data (d, averaged over the period 2000-2006). Units are in mgChl/m³



Figure 13: Mean surface phosphate (a,b), nitrate (c,d), dissolved oxygen (e,f) concentration (first 150m, in mmol/m³) simulated in the period 2000-2006 by RegCM-ES (left column) compared to observations from the World Ocean Atlas (right column) 2018.

Fig. 13 a,b compares the simulated surface distribution of phosphate in the Mediterranean Sea to the observations from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 (WOA18, Garcia et al., 2018). RegCM-ES captures the low concentrations of phosphate within the Mediterranean Sea and the peculiar spatial west-east gradient in this limiting nutrient, which is strictly correlated with the aforementioned gradient in the productivity (Lazzari et al., 2012; Richon et al., 2017; 2018; 2019). PO₄ values (Table 5) simulated by RegCM-ES are in good agreement with observations of WOA18 dataset at the basin scale, in both Western and Eastern Mediterranean, in the Tyrrhenian Sea and Levantine basin. The same good level of agreement between model and reference dataset is observed in the comparison with MedMIT12-BFM dataset in the Western Mediterranean, in the Alboran Sea, Northern/Southern Western Mediterranean and Tyrrhenian Sea. However the model underestimates the relatively high phosphate concentrations in the Alboran Sea, in the Gulf of Lions and along the Ligurian coastlines (Figure 13 and Table 5). We ascribed this underestimation to a reduced vertical mixing induced by the Mistral wind in the coarse resolution atmospheric module (section 3.2.1) but also to the differences in the mean values of phosphate concentration in the Medar/Medatlas initial conditions which tends to be lower than 10% and than 50% with respect to WOA18 in the Alboran Sea and Gulf of Lions areas respectively. At the basin scale and in all sub-basins, both MedMIT12-BFM and WOA18, together with RegCM-ES, overestimates the mean concentration of phosphate with respect to MED16/OGSTM-BFM and CMEMS-BIO.

The areas of Gulf of Lions, the Northwestern Mediterranean and the Alboran Sea show values of nitrate in good agreement with the values observed in WOA18 (Fig.13 c,d and Table 5). RegCM-ES reproduces also the northsouth gradient in this dissolved nutrient already found in previous modeling studies (Lazzari et al., 2016). The same good level of agreement is observed again between RegCM-ES and MedMIT12-BFM at basin scale and in all sub-basins (Table 5). However, compared to WOA18 dataset, RegCM-ES clearly simulates higher than observed values in the Tyrrhenian Sea, Ionian Sea and the Eastern basins (Fig 13 c,d and Table 5). These differences are even stronger considering as reference dataset CMEMS-BIO and MED16/OGSTM-BFM. Similar differences between model and observational data are obtained considering SiO4 with RegCM-ES simulating at least values of concentration two times higher with respect to WOA18 (not shown). The tendencies of the model to simulate excess vertical mixing in the Tyrrhenian Sea and Levantine basin (Di Biagio et al., 2019), NO₃ values in the initial conditions which are higher than those in the WOA18 dataset of about 40% in the Ionian Sea and boundary biogeochemical conditions (more specifically river nutrients discharge and nutrients discharge at the Dardanelles strait) can explain the differences between model and observations (D'Ortenzio et al., 2005, Houpert et al., 2015, Di Biagio et al., 2019).

For the dissolved oxygen RegCM-ES tends to simulate lower (higher) values throughout the basin with respect to WOA18 (MedMIT12-BFM, Table 5). On the other hand we observed a good level of agreement between RegCM-ES and CMEMS-BIO (Table 5) with the only exception of the Alboran Sea where differences between the two values are originated from the different boundary conditions adopted in the two simulations. The misfit between WOA18 and modelled data can be traced mainly to the procedure adopted in the BFM version 2 to convert the oxygen solubility values from ml/l to mmol/m³ which produces lower values (approximately 9 %) with respect to the updated methodologies used in BFM version 5. Version 2 of the BFM model is also adopted in the CMEMS-BIO and this can explain the consistency between the two datasets (Table 5). Additional source of misfit between

model and data are the temperature and salinity biases between the two datasets which result in a lower oxygen solubility in RegCM-ES mainly during the warm season (May-June-July-August), with relatively larger negative values in the range [-5; -3]% in the Northern Western Mediterranean, in the range [-4; -3]% in the Southern West Mediterranean, in the range [-3;-2]% in the Tyrrhenian and Ionian Sea, and in the range [-2, 0] % in the Levantine basin.

| Mediterranean SeaRegCM-ES0.160.123.12214SeaMedMT12-BFM0.120.102.78185MED16/0GSTM- 0.080.080.041.33185BFM00.0800.04;0.1210.06(0;0.121210(197;223)WOA180.08(0.04;0.12)0.04(0.01;0.07)0.6(0;0.121210(197;223)WOA180.12(0.1;0.14)1.31(1.06:1.56)235(232;238)SAT0.105.58217MediterraneanMedMT12-BFM0.160.153.35187MED16/0GSTM- 0.110.100.081.85187MED16/0GSTM- 0.180.110.061.42205WOA180.17[0.15;0.19]2.30(1.95;2.65)234[231;237]SAT0.151.12205WOA180.17[0.15;0.19]2.30(1.95;2.65)234[231;237]SAT0.100.062.4183MediterraneanMedMT12-BFM0.100.062.4183MediterraneanMedMT12-BFM0.050.020.36213ModiterraneanMedMT12-BFM0.050.020.36213MedMT12-BFM0.050.020.36213Molta0.050.020.36214Mather0.050.020.36226(23;239)SAT0.050.020.36214MedMT12-BFM0.220.173.21214(23;239)SAT0.050.020.36224(22;27)Mathe | Area | Dataset | Chl-a | PO ₄ | NO ₃ | DOX |
|---|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Sea MedMIT12-BFM 0.12 0.10 2.78 185 MEDI6/OGSTM- BFM 0.08 0.04 1.33 1 MEDI6/OGSTM- BFM 0.08 0.04 1.33 1 CMEMS-BIO 0.08[0.04;0.12] 0.04[0.01;0.07] 0.6[0;0.12] 210[197;223] WOAI8 0.12[0.1:0.14] 1.31[1.06;1.50] 235[232;238] 3 SAT 0.10 0.12[0.1:0.14] 1.31[1.06;1.50] 235[232;238] Western RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.58 217 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.16 0.15 3.35 187 MEDI6/OGSTM 0.11 0.08 1.85 187 187 GMEMS-BIO 0.12 0.07 1.12 205 WOA18 0.17[0.15:0.19] 2.30[1.95:2.65] 234[23]:237] Mediterranean MedMT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 Mediterranean MedMT12-BFM 0.02 0.95 214 SAT 0.05 0.0 | Mediterranean | RegCM-ES | 0.16 | 0.12 | 3.12 | 214 |
| MEDI6/OGSTM- BFM 0.08 0.04 1.33 BFM 0 0.04(0.01;0.07) 0.6(0;0.12) 210(197;223) WOA18 0.12(0.1;0.14) 1.31(1.106;1.56) 235[232;238] SAT 0.10 1.31(1.106;1.56) 235[232;238] Western RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.58 217 Mediterranean MegID16/OGSTM- 0.16 0.15 3.35 187 Mediterranean MegID16/OGSTM- 0.11 0.08 1.85 187 MEDI6/OGSTM- 0.11 0.08 1.85 236[231;237] 234[231;237] SAT 0.16 0.17 1.12 205 234[231;237] SAT 0.16 0.17 2.30[1:95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.16 0.16 2.4 183 Mediterranean MegCM-ES 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 Mediterranean MegCM-ES 0.02 0.95 236[23;23:0] 341 Mediterranean MegCM-ES <t< th=""><td>Sea</td><td>MedMIT12-BFM</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.10</td><td>2.78</td><td>185</td></t<> | Sea | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.12 | 0.10 | 2.78 | 185 |
| BFM Image: Margin M | | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.08 | 0.04 | 1.33 | |
| CMEMS-BIO0.08[0.04;0.12]0.04[0.01;0.07]0.6[0;0.12]210[197;223]WOA180.12[0.1;0.14]1.31[1.06;1.56]235[23;238]SAT0.101.31[1.06;1.56]235[23;238]MediterraneanRegCM-ES0.200.163.58217MeditT12-BFM0.160.153.35187MED16/0STM-0.110.081.85187BFM0.110.081.85187BFM0.120.071.12205WOA180.17[0.15;0.19]2.30[1.95;2.65]234[231;237]SAT0.1511EasternRegCM-ES0.130.12.8MediterraneanMedMIT12-BFM0.100.062.4183MED16/OGSTM-0.050.020.951FM0.050.020.95236[233;239]SAT0.050.020.36213WOA180.09[0.07;0.11]0.63[0.43;0.8]236[233;239]Alboran SeaRegCM-ES0.270.173.21218MedMIT12-BFM0.220.193.36208MED16/OGSTM-0.230.141.63244[221;227]SAT0.310.2[0.09;0.25]3.63[3:23:4.03]224[221;227]SAT0.310.22[0.19;0.25]3.63[3:23:4.03]224[221;227]SAT0.310.163.4215MedMIT12-BFM0.140.153.2195MedMIT12-BFM0.140.163.4215 </th <td></td> <td>BFM</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | BFM | | | | |
| WOA18 0.12[0.1;0.14] 1.31[1.06;1.56] 235[232;238] SAT 0.10 | | CMEMS-BIO | 0.08[0.04;0.12] | 0.04[0.01;0.07] | 0.6[0;0.12] | 210[197;223] |
| SAT 0.10 Image: constraint of the symbol of | | WOA18 | | 0.12[0.1;0.14] | 1.31[1.06;1.56] | 235[232;238] |
| Western Mediterranean RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.58 217 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.16 0.15 3.35 187 MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.11 0.08 1.85 187 CMEMS-BIO 0.12 0.07 1.12 205 WOA18 0.17[0.15;0.19] 2.30[1.95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.15 2.30 2.34 233[231;237] Mediterranean RegCM-ES 0.13 0.1 2.8 212 Meditherranean MedD16/OGSTM- 0.00 0.06 2.4 183 Meditherranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.02 0.95 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.16 3.63[23;4:03] | | SAT | 0.10 | | | |
| Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.16 0.15 3.35 187 MED16/0GSTM- BFM 0.11 0.08 1.85 | Western | RegCM-ES | 0.20 | 0.16 | 3.58 | 217 |
| MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.11 0.08 1.85 CMEMS-BIO 0.12 0.07 1.12 205 WOA18 0.17[0.15;0.19] 2.30[1.95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.15 1 2 Mediterranean RegCM-ES 0.13 0.1 2.8 212 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 MED16/OGSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.95 1 1 MED16/OGSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 MOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] Southern RegCM-ES 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.22 0.19 3.63[3.23;4.03] | Mediterranean | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.16 | 0.15 | 3.35 | 187 |
| BFM 0.12 0.07 1.12 205 WOA18 0.17[0.15;0.19] 2.30[1.95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.15 - - Eastern RegCM-ES 0.13 0.1 2.8 212 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 MED16/OGSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.95 - - BFM - - - - - MCD16/OGSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 - MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.19(0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 15[| | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.11 | 0.08 | 1.85 | |
| CMEMS-BIO 0.12 0.07 1.12 205 WOA18 0.17[0.15;0.19] 2.30[1.95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.15 | | BFM | | | | |
| WOA18 0.17[0.15;0.19] 2.30[1.95;2.65] 234[231;237] SAT 0.15 | | CMEMS-BIO | 0.12 | 0.07 | 1.12 | 205 |
| SAT 0.15 Interval Interval Interval RegCM-ES 0.13 0.1 2.8 212 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.05 0.02 0.95 183 MED16/OGSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 1 1 Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 1 BFM 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] VoA18 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 | | WOA18 | | 0.17[0.15;0.19] | 2.30[1.95;2.65] | 234[231;237] |
| Eastern RegCM-ES 0.13 0.1 2.8 212 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 Mediterranean MeD16/0GSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.95 183 MED16/0GSTM- 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 MedMIT12-BFM 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 MedA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/0GSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 MedMIT12-BFM 0.19[0.05;0.33] 0.09[0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22 0.16 3.4 215 MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 | | SAT | 0.15 | | | |
| Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.06 2.4 183 MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.05 0.02 0.95 | Eastern | RegCM-ES | 0.13 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 212 |
| MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.05 0.02 0.95 BFM 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 CMEMS-BIO 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 153[40;193] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 153[40;193] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 15 155 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 165 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] </th <td>Mediterranean</td> <td>MedMIT12-BFM</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>183</td> | Mediterranean | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.10 | 0.06 | 2.4 | 183 |
| BFM Indexter Indexter Indexter CMEMS-BIO 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 Image: Comparison of the system 236[233;239] Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/0GSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 Image: Comparison of the system MEM Image: Comparison of the system 0.19[0.05;0.33] 0.09[0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 153[40;193] Southern RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.64 22[21;227] MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] Southern RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 Image: Comparison of the system MedMIT12-BFM <td></td> <td>MED16/OGSTM-</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.95</td> <td></td> | | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.95 | |
| CMEMS-BIO 0.05 0.02 0.36 213 WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 | | BFM | | | | |
| WOA18 0.09[0.07;0.11] 0.63[0.43;0.8] 236[233;239] SAT 0.05 Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 BFM 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 Western MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 Mediterranean SAT 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01 | | CMEMS-BIO | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.36 | 213 |
| SAT 0.05 Image: Marcine Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 Image: Medition Sea BFM 0.23 0.09[0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 Image: Medition Sea 215 Western RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 3.2 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 BFM Image: Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 2 | | WOA18 | | 0.09[0.07;0.11] | 0.63[0.43;0.8] | 236[233;239] |
| Alboran Sea RegCM-ES 0.27 0.17 3.21 218 MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- 0.23 0.14 1.63 1.63 BFM 0.210005;0.33] 0.09[0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 1 1 Western RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Medterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MedMIT12-BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 100(174;223) WOA18 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] SAT 0.12 </th <td></td> <td>SAT</td> <td>0.05</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | SAT | 0.05 | | | |
| MedMIT12-BFM 0.22 0.19 3.36 208 MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.23 0.14 1.63 | Alboran Sea | RegCM-ES | 0.27 | 0.17 | 3.21 | 218 |
| MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.23 0.14 1.63 BFM $0.19[0.05;0.33]$ $0.09[0.02;0.16]$ $1.5[0;3.4]$ $153[40;193]$ CMEMS-BIO $0.19[0.05;0.33]$ $0.09[0.02;0.16]$ $1.5[0;3.4]$ $153[40;193]$ WOA18 $0.22[0.19;0.25]$ $3.63[3.23;4.03]$ $224[221;227]$ SAT 0.31 0.16 3.4 215 WesternMedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 MediterraneanMED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 156 MediterraneanMED16/OGSTM- BFM $0.11[0.09;0.15]$ $0.07[0.01;0.15]$ $1[0;2]$ $200[174;223]$ WOA18 0.12 $0.13[0.11;0.15]$ $2[1.8;2.3]$ $234[231;238]$ | | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.22 | 0.19 | 3.36 | 208 |
| BFM Image: Marcine Mar | | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.23 | 0.14 | 1.63 | |
| CMEMS-BIO 0.19[0.05;0.33] 0.09[0.02;0.16] 1.5[0;3.4] 153[40;193] WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 | | BFM | | | | |
| WOA18 0.22[0.19;0.25] 3.63[3.23;4.03] 224[221;227] SAT 0.31 Southern RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 Western MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 200[174;223] WOA18 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] | | CMEMS-BIO | 0.19[0.05;0.33] | 0.09[0.02;0.16] | 1.5[0;3.4] | 153[40;193] |
| SAT 0.31 Image: marginal system Southern RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 Western MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 200[174;223] WoA18 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] SAT 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] | | WOA18 | | 0.22[0.19;0.25] | 3.63[3.23;4.03] | 224[221;227] |
| Southern RegCM-ES 0.20 0.16 3.4 215 Western MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- 0.10 0.08 1.5 200[174;223] CMEMS-BIO 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] | | SAT | 0.31 | | | |
| Western MedMIT12-BFM 0.14 0.15 3.2 195 Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 200[174;223] CMEMS-BIO 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] | Southern | RegCM-ES | 0.20 | 0.16 | 3.4 | 215 |
| Mediterranean MED16/OGSTM- BFM 0.10 0.08 1.5 CMEMS-BIO 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.12 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] | Western | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.14 | 0.15 | 3.2 | 195 |
| BFM CMEMS-BIO 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] SAT 0.12 | Mediterranean | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.10 | 0.08 | 1.5 | |
| CMEMS-BIO 0.11[0.09;0.15] 0.07[0.01;0.15] 1[0;2] 200[174;223] WOA18 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] SAT 0.12 | | BFM | | | | |
| WOA18 0.13[0.11;0.15] 2[1.8;2.3] 234[231;238] SAT 0.12 | | CMEMS-BIO | 0.11[0.09;0.15] | 0.07[0.01;0.15] | 1[0;2] | 200[174;223] |
| SAT 0.12 | | WOA18 | | 0.13[0.11;0.15] | 2[1.8;2.3] | 234[231;238] |
| | | SAT | 0.12 | | | |
| RegCM-ES 0.20 0.17 3.97 218 | | RegCM-ES | 0.20 | 0.17 | 3.97 | 218 |
| MedMIT12-BFM 0.18 0.16 3.72 178 | | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.18 | 0.16 | 3.72 | 178 |

| Northern | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.13 | 0.08 | 2.3 | |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Western | BFM | | | | |
| Mediterranean | CMEMS-BIO | 0.14[0.07;0.21] | 0.08[0;0.18] | 1.30[0;4.4] | 214[198;233] |
| | WOA18 | | 0.20[0.17;0.23] | 3[2.7-3.4] | 232[230;234] |
| | SAT | 0.17 | | | |
| Tyrrhenian | RegCM-ES | 0.18 | 0.14 | 3.3 | 216 |
| | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.13 | 0.11 | 3.0 | 185 |
| | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.08 | 0.05 | 1.63 | |
| | BFM | | | | |
| | CMEMS-BIO | 0.09[0.04;0.14] | 0.06[0.02;0,10] | 0.8[0;2.9] | 214[198;230] |
| | WOA18 | | 0.14[0.12;0.16] | 1.5[1.3;1.6] | 238[234;242] |
| | SAT | 0.09 | | | |
| Ionian | RegCM-ES | 0.14 | 0.1 | 2.85 | 213 |
| | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.10 | 0.07 | 2.54 | 185 |
| | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.06 | 0.02 | 1.08 | |
| | BFM | | | | |
| | CMEMS-BIO | 0.06[0.04;0.08] | 0.03[0;0.06] | 0.4[0;1]. | 214[198;230] |
| | WOA18 | | 0.07[0.05;0.09] | 0.72[0.5;1] | 236[233;239] |
| | SAT | 0.12 | | | |
| Levantine | RegCM-ES | 0.12 | 0.09 | 2.7 | 211 |
| | MedMIT12-BFM | 0.08 | 0.05 | 2.2 | 182 |
| | MED16/OGSTM- | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.83 | |
| | BFM | | | | |
| | CMEMS-BIO | 0.05[0.04;0.06] | 0.02[0;0.04] | 0.3[0;1] | 211[195;227] |
| | WOA18 | | 0.1[0.08;0.12] | 0.53[0.4;0.6] | 235[233;237] |
| | SAT | 0.05 | | | |

Table 5: Horizontal means of Chl-a (in units of mg/m³), PO₄ (in units of mmol/m³), NO₃(in units of mmol/m³) and DOX (in units of mmol/m³) in the Mediterranean Sea and related sub-basins as annual climatologies in the first 150 m: according to RegCM-ES, other models and reference data. MedMIT12-BFM (Di Biagio et al., 2019), MED16/OGSTM-BFM (Lazzari et al., 2012), CMEMS-BIO (Teruzzi et al., 2016), WOA18 (Garcia et al., 2018), SAT (Colella et al., 2016). The values between brackets are the range of values of the reference datasets considering the uncertainties of the data (RMSD).

Fig. 14 compares qualitatively the vertical structure of chlorophyll-a for the RegCM-ES (a), MED16/OGSTM-BFM (b) and CMEMS-BIO (c) along a west-east transect including the Alboran Sea, the Southwestern Mediterranean, the Ionian and Levantine basins. This transect was described and used by D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà (2009), Lazzari et al. (2012), Di Biagio et al., (2019) to illustrate the chlorophyll-a vertical structure in the basin and the location in the water column of the Deep Chlorophyll-a Maximum (DCM). The figure shows that RegCM-ES indeed captures this west-east gradient in the vertical structure of chlorophyll-a (Turley et al., 2000; Moutin and Raimbault, 2002; D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà, 2009; Lazzari et al., 2012; Richon et al., 2017, 2018, 2019; Di Biagio et al., 2019). Starting from the western portion of the transect, the DCM simulated by RegCM-ES in the Alboran Sea is quite shallow (around 60m), as in MED16/OGSTM-BFM (b) and CMEMS (c), with a mean value of chlorophyll-a of about 0.3 mg/m³. The same depth for DCM is observed moving eastwards (up to 10E, Lazzari et al., 2012). On the other hand, in the Ionian and Levantine basin the model tends to reproduce a shallower DCM than that observed in the two modelled datasets, with higher values of chlorophyll-a. In fact, while for RegCM-ES the DCM is located around 80-90m, in MED16/OGSTM-BFM and CMEMS it is located around 100-110m. The differences between RegCM-ES and CMEMS-BIO can be mostly attributed to the vertical ocean mixing scheme, which tends to overestimate vertical mixing in some areas of the basins with respect to reanalysis, such as the Strait of Sicily and the Levantine basin. This process enriches the upper layer with nutrients favoring phytoplankton growth and higher surface chlorophyll-a concentrations in a shallower DCM.





The vertical distribution of phosphate, nitrate and dissolved oxygen simulated by RegCM-ES along the same transect adopted for chlorophyll-a (Fig. 14 a) is compared with WOA18 data in Fig. 15. As discussed in the introduction, the vertical structure of nutrients is strongly influenced by both physical (e.g. estuarine inverse circulation) and biogeochemical processes (e.g. the biological pump). The representation of realistic oxygen fields in a physical biogeochemical model is critical because of the ecological importance of dissolved oxygen

concentration and its sensitivity to climatic perturbations. The phytoplankton is an important source of dissolved oxygen, which is produced by photosynthesis in presence of sunlight and nutrients. A typical dissolved oxygen vertical profile has a peak in proximity of the DCM while the value decreases with depth. On the basin scale **RegCM-ES** reproduces the vertical structure of both nutrients along with the well-known regional west-east gradient (Lazzari et al., 2012; Richon et al., 2017, 2018). Vertical structures of both nutrients are very well simulated by RegCM-ES in the western Mediterranean. In particular RegCM-ES reproduces the progressive deepening of the nutricline associated with the depletion of nutrients from the euphotic zone associated with estuarine inverse circulation and phytoplankton growth. However, in the first 400 m it is still evident the modelled overestimation of the values of nitrate in the eastern basin that we ascribe to an excessively strong vertical mixing which erodes the nutricline and to the modelled discharge of NO₃ by the Dardanelles strait and Aegean river which has an impact on the concentration of this nutrient in the eastern basin.

Fig. 15c shows that the peak of dissolved oxygen in the Mediterranean Sea simulated by the model follows the DCM shown in Fig. 14a, with a progressive increase in its depth moving from west to east. As for the nutrients, the vertical structure and magnitudes are very well simulated by the model in the Western Mediterranean, but they are underestimated in part of the Eastern basin. This is again mostly associated with excessively strong vertical mixing which dilutes the oxygen across the water column, temperature and salinity biases, deficiencies in boundary conditions and solubility computations.





Figure 15: Phosphate (a), Nitrate (b) and Dissolved oxygen (c) mean vertical concentration (first 400 m) calculated by the RegCM-ES fields (background colors) and from WOA18 observations (colored dots). Units are in mmol/m³

4.Discussion and Conclusions

In this work we introduced further developments to the Earth System Regional Climate Model RegCM-ES (Sitz et al., 2017) and evaluated for the first time its performances over the Mediterranean region. The most notable novel aspect of the coupled system is the possibility to simulate the dynamics of marine ecosystems through the

inclusion of the biogeochemical model BFM coupled to the ocean model. The coupled system performance was tested in a simulation for the period 1994-2006 against available observations, hindcasts and reanalyses products.

The adoption of a new version and configuration of the RegCM4 atmospheric module has led to an improvement of land surface temperature representation in winter and fall over most of the Mediterranean region, while the coupling between atmosphere and ocean has shown to slightly improve the representation of evaporation and precipitation over parts of the Mediterranean basin. We also showed that the atmospheric component is able to reproduce the variability of temperature, precipitation and heat fluxes over the basin.

Concerning the ocean, the adoption of the Holstag scheme for the boundary layer has allowed RegCM-ES to improve the representation of both interannual and inter-monthly variability of SST at the basin scale, the maximum associated with the warm summer 2003 and the minima during the cold winters of 2004-05 and 2005-06 and the mean seasonal values. SSS variability is also correctly simulated and the model shows good skills in reproducing the mean surface and intermediate ocean circulation.

Further, RegCM-ES is able to reproduce mean values and spatial differences in net primary production over the Mediterranean Sea, the horizontal and vertical structure of chlorophyll-a along with horizontal patterns and vertical structures of both dissolved nutrients (phosphate and nitrate) and oxygen throughout the basin. A quantitative analysis has shown that values of PO₄ (which is considered the limiting nutrient in the Mediterranean Sea, Lazzari et al., 2016) simulated by RegCM-ES are in good agreement with the observations available in the WOA18 dataset. The same comparison has revealed a sistematic underestimation of the simulated dissolved oxygen with respect to WOA18. On the other hand a good level agreement for the dissolved oxygen has been observed between RegCM-ES and CMEMS-BIO.

Thus RegCM-ES has all the capabilities to become a suitable tool for the study of regional climates and for the analysis of the impacts of climate change on the ocean and biogeochemistry over the Mediterranean region and many other regions of the world.

However, the RegCM-ES configuration presented here suffers from some notable deficiencies. The adoption of the Holstag scheme and the chosen values for the Tiedtke convection scheme parametrization have led to a degradation in the representation of land surface temperature in spring and summer over most of the domain (due to an underestimation of low cloud cover and an overestimation of shortwave radiation) and of the convective precipitation over most of the region in summer and fall. Evaporation is also overestimated over most the basin. Patterns in SST biases are characterized by a positive sign in the Western basin, mainly in the area of the Gulf of Lions, associated with the underestimation of Mistral wind intensity over the area due to the coarse atmospheric resolution, and a negative sign in the Eastern Mediterranean associated with a general overestimation of vertical mixing due to the wind forcing over the area. SSS is overestimated with respect to the reference datasets because of the overestimation of evaporation and the underestimation of river runoff and precipitation over the most of the basin. Finally, we also observed an overestimation of Chl-a and a large overestimation of NO₃ (comparable with that observed in MedMIT12-BFM) over most of the basin with respect to reference datasets due to uncertainties in initial and boundary conditions (mostly traced to river and Dardanelles nutrient discharges) and with a too strong vertical mixing simulated by the ocean model in some parts of the basin. From this point of view, the

present configuration of RegCM-ES fails to reproduce the ultra-oligotrophic character of the Eastern basin depicted in the introduction (see section 1).

Overall, the new version of RegCM-ES analyzed here has offered valuable indications that it could be considered an alternative to offline models for the analysis of climate impacts on ocean and biogeochemical dynamics under future scenarios, given that, when no data can be used to force offline models, the use of (validated) online integrated models, is the only possibility when taking into consideration the feedbacks between ocean, atmosphere and the biosphere. This modeling tool could be used to study the effects of climate change on the biogeochemical cycles in the Mediterranean as part of the MED-CORDEX initiative (Ruti et al., 2014) and, using a finer resolution atmospheric model, on the dense water formation processes and their effect on ecosystem dynamics in the Adriatic Sea. However, applications of RegCM-ES are not limited to the Mediterranean basin and could involve other regions of the globe like North Atlantic, the Arctic Ocean, the Indian Ocean, to name a few.

RegCM-ES is experiencing a continuous development in all its sub-components. We are currently refining the atmospheric module, switching to the version 4.7 and implementing the University of Washington (Grenier and Bretherton, 2001) for the boundary layer scheme. Moreover, we are increasing the value of the entrainment rate for convection over land and over the ocean and the conversion coefficient for the cloud cover, with the general aim of reducing the biases observed in surface temperature and precipitation. A finer resolution of the atmospheric module is expected to improve the representation of wind forcing, reducing the SST biases over certain locations of the basin like the Gulf of Lions (Turuncoglu et Sannino, 2016), and in turn improving the simulation of vertical mixing in the area.

On the ocean side, the use of the physical reanalysis, such as ORAP, for the lateral boundary conditions and a series of sensitivity experiments to tune the physical schemes adopted in the coupled model are expected to improve the representation of air-sea interactions, precipitation and nutrients concentrations in the area.

In order to improve the simulated river discharge, we are currently adopting as new river discharge module CHym (Cetemps Hydrological Model, Coppola et al., 2007). CHym is a model that can be used with a higher resolution than HD and this could potentially lead to an improvement in the representation of salinity variability in the basin and in some sub-basins like in the Adriatic Sea, where the river discharge plays a primary role in influencing the stability of the water column and, in turn, deep-water formation processes.

Two main issues need to be considered in order to improve the simulated dynamics in the marine ecosystem: the spin-up and lateral boundary conditions. One approach would consist of running RegCM-ES with only the atmospheric and oceanic module activated for a relatively short period of 10 years (for example 1995-2014), but repeating the integration for a certain numbers of cycles, using always the same forcing, to allow the system to get into equilibrium. Then, the resulting last cycle of ten years would be used, with its 3D fields of zonal and meridional component of speed, temperature and short wave, to force offline the OGSTM-BFM model (Lazzari et al., 2016; Teruzzi et al., 2018) which is a coupled physical-biogeochemical model using the transport model OGSTM (Foujols et al., 2000) and the biogeochemical reactor BFM (Vichi et al., 2013). This approach would allow to simulate the biogeochemical dynamics of the basin in a relatively short time (OGSTM-BFM is able to perform 100 years of simulation in just one week with a horizontal resolution of 1/24 degree). Moreover, as opposed to running the full configuration of RegCM-ES, it would allow to analyze the spin-up and to tune the

boundary conditions associated with the atmospheric deposition and the river and Dardanelles nutrients load in order to avoid large concentrations of NO_3 and SiO_4 in sub-basins like the Adriatic, or Aegean Sea, or the Eastern basin where these effects can be substantial.

Finally, the resulting fields from the last cycle together with the offline biogeochemical variables could be used as initial conditions for a longer run with the full configuration of RegCM-ES. At the same time, we will include in the model setting the oxygen discharge by rivers that, together with the improvements in the temperature and salinity biases and, above all, the inclusion of the version 5 of the BFM with the updated computations of oxygen solubility, will improve the representation of oxygen dynamics in the region.

Acknowledgments

M. Reale has been supported in this work by OGS and Cineca under HPC-TRES award number 2015-07 and by the project FAIRSEA (Fisheries in the Adriatic Region - a Shared Ecosystem. Approach) funded by the 2014 - 2020 Interreg V-A Italy - Croatia CBC Programme (Standard project ID 10046951). V. Di Biagio and F. Di Sante have been supported in this work by OGS and CINECA under HPC-TRES program award number 2016-04 and 2016-07. M. Reale acknowledges the support of Cineca with its computational resources of IscraC ADDIO (HP10CF79DR), LEPRE (HP10C6QTCP) and TRICYCLO (HP10CYF2T3). M. Reale is grateful to G. Bolzon (OGS) for his valuable support in preparation of Python codes for the analysis of atmospheric fields, P. Lazzari (OGS) for providing MED16/OGSTM-BFM, G. Giuliani (ICTP) for his help for the libraries installing and setting on Marconi and Argo, E. Pichelli (ICTP) and G. Giuliani (ICTP) for the discussion about RegCM4 settings and parametrization, A. Crise (OGS) and G. Cossarini (OGS) for the discussion about dissolved oxygen in the marine environment.

GPCP Precipitation data are provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/).This study has been done using E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information and Datasets (MyOcean, Copernicus Physical Reanalysis, Copernicus Biogeochemical hindcast and reanalysis, Chlorophyll-a and SST satellite data): the Authors acknowledge the European Copernicus Marine Environmental

Monitoring Services for their open access data policy (http://marine.copernicus.eu/).The Authors acknowledge the WHOI OAFlux project for allowing the use of OAFlux products (http://oaflux.whoi.edu/), the National Oceanographic center for providing the NOCS dataset (https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds260.3/), University of East Anglia for the CRU dataset (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/data) and the MetOffice Hadly center for providing the EN4 dataset (https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/en4/). All data and simulated variables are available at http://clima-dods.ictp.it/Users/rfarneti/Reale etal JAMES 2020/.

References

Adani, M., Dobricic, S., & Pinardi, N. (2011) Quality assessment of a 1985–2007 Mediterranean Sea reanalysis. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 28(4), 569–589.

Allen, J., Somerfeld, P., and Siddorn, J. (2002) Primary and bacterial production in the Mediterranean sea: a modelling study. Journal of Marine Systems, 33:473-495.

Amitai, Y., Ashkenazy, Y., Gildor, H. (2016) Multiple equilibria and overturning variability of the Aegean-Adriatic Seas. Global and Planetary Change, 151, 49–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.05.004

Artale, V., et al. (2010), An atmosphere-ocean regional climate model for the Mediterranean area: Assessment of a present climate simulation, Clim. Dyn., 35(5), 721–740.

Ashkenazy, Y., Stone, P., & Malanotte-Rizzoli, P. (2012) Box modeling of the Eastern Mediterranean sea. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 391(4), 1519–1531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.08.026

Aumont, O., Maier-Reimer, E., Blain, S., Pondaven, P. (2003). An ecosystem model of the global ocean including Fe, Si, P co-limitations. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 17, 1060, doi:10.1029/2001GB001745.

Baklouti, M., Diaz, F., Pinazo, C., Faure, V., Queguiner, B., (2006a). Investigation of mechanistic formulations depicting phytoplankton dynamics for models of marine pelagic ecosystems and description of a new model. Progress in Oceanography 71, 1-33.

Baklouti, M., Faure, V., Pawlowski, L., Sciandra, A., (2006b). Investigation and sensitivity analysis of a mechanistic phytoplankton model implemented in a new modular numerical tool (Eco3M) dedicated to biogeochemical modelling.

Progress in Oceanography 71, 34-58

Barreiro M., L. Sitz, S. de Mello, R. Fuentes Franco, M. Renom, R. Farneti (2018): Modeling the role of air-sea interaction in the impact of MJO on South American climate *Int. J. Climatology*.

Berry, D.I. and Kent, E.C. (2011), Air–Sea fluxes from ICOADS: the construction of a new gridded dataset with uncertainty estimates. Int. J. Climatol., 31: 987-1001. doi:<u>10.1002/joc.2059</u>

Bessagnet, B., Menut, L., Curci, G., Hodzic, A., Guillaume, B., Liousse, C., Moukhtar, S., Pun, B., Seigneur, C., Schulz, M., (2008). Regional modeling of carbonaceous aerosols over Europe - Focus on Secondary Organic Aerosols.J. Atmos. Chem. 61, 175-202.

Beuvier, J.,F. Sevault, M. Herrmann, H. Kontoyiannis, W. Ludwig, M. Rixen, E. Stanev, K. Beranger, and S. Somot (2010), Modeling the Mediterranean Sea interannual variability during 1961–2000: Focus on the Eastern Mediterranean Transient, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C08017, doi:10.1029/2009JC005950.

Boyd P.W., S. Sundby, and H.-O. Pörtner, (2014): Cross-chapter box on net primary production in the ocean. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 133-136.

Boyer, T.P., J. I. Antonov, O. K. Baranova, C. Coleman, H. E. Garcia, A. Grodsky, D. R. Johnson, R. A. Locarnini, A. V. Mishonov, T.D. O'Brien, C.R. Paver, J.R. Reagan, D. Seidov, I. V. Smolyar, and M. M. Zweng, 2013: World Ocean Database 2013, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 72, S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov, Technical Ed.; Silver Spring, MD, 209 pp., <u>http://doi.org/10.7289/V5NZ85MT</u>

Boldrin, A., Miserocchi, S., Rabitti, S., Turchetto, M., Balboni, V., and Socal, G. (2002). Particulate matter in the southern Adriatic and Ionian sea: characterization and downward fluxes. Journal of Marine Systems, 33:389-410.

Bosc, E., Bricaud, A., and Antoine, D. (2004). Seasonal and interannual variability in algal biomass and primary production in the Mediterranean sea, as derived from 4 years of sea-wifs observations. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18 (1).

Nardelli B. et al., (2013): High and Ultra-High resolution processing of satellite Sea Surface Temperature data over Southern European Seas in the framework of MyOcean project, Rem. Sens. Env., 129, 1-16, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.012.

Canu, D., A. Ghermandi, P. Nunes, P. Lazzari, G. Cossarini, and C. Solidoro (2015), Estimating the value of carbon sequestration ecosystem services in the Mediterranean Sea: An ecological economics approach, Global Environ. Change, 32, 87–95.

Colella, S. (2007). La produzione primaria nel Mar Mediterraneo da satellite: sviluppo di un modello regionale e sua applicazione ai dati SeaWiFS, MODIS e MERIS. PhD thesis, Universita' degli Studi di Napoli Federico II.

Colella, S., Falcini, F., Rinaldi, E., Sammartino, M., and Santoleri, R. (2016). Mediterranean ocean colour chlorophyll trends. PLoS ONE, 11.

Collins, N., G. Theurich, C. DeLuca, M. Suarez, A. Trayanov, V. Balaji, P. Li, W. Yang, C. Hill, and A. da Silva (2005), Design and implementation of components in the Earth System Modeling Framework, Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl., 19(3), 341–350.

Conan, P., Pujo-Pay, M., Raimbault, P., and Leveau, M. (1998). Hydrological and biological variability in the gulf of lions productivity on the inner edge of the north mediterranean current. Oceanologica Acta, 6 (21):767-782.

Coppola, E., B. Tomasetti, L. Mariotti, M. Verdecchia, and G. Visconti (2007), Cellular automata algorithms for drainage network extraction and rainfall data assimilation, Hydrol. Sci. J., 52, 579–592.

Cossarini, G., P. Lazzari, and C. Solidoro (2015), Spatiotemporal variability of alkalinity in the Mediterranean Sea, Biogeosciences, 12(6), 1647–1658.

Cossarini, G., S. Querin, C. Solidoro, G. Sannino, P. Lazzari, V. Di Biagio, and G. Bolzon (2016), Development of BFMCOUPLER (v1.0), the coupling scheme that links the MITgcm and BFM models for ocean biogeochemistry simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 1423–1445, doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-222.

Crise A, JI Allen, J Baretta, G Crispi, R Mosetti, C Solidoro (1999) The Mediterranean pelagic ecosystem response to physical forcing Progress in Oceanography 44 (1-3), 219-243

Crise, A., Solidoro C., and Tomini, I. (2003) Preparation of initial conditions for the coupled model OGCM and initial parameters setting MFSTEP report WP11, subtask 11310, 2003.

G Crispi, R Mosetti, C Solidoro, A Crise (2001) Nutrients cycling in Mediterranean basins: the role of the biological pump in the trophic regime Ecological Modelling 138 (1-3), 101-114

Crispi, G., Crise, A., and Solidoro, C. (2002). Coupled Mediterranean ecomodel of the phosphorus and nitrogen cycles. Journal of Marine Systems, 33:497-521.

Cusinato, E., Zanchettin, D., Sannino, G. et al. Mediterranean Thermohaline Response to Large-Scale Winter Atmospheric Forcing in a High-Resolution Ocean Model Simulation (2018) Pure Appl. Geophys. (2018) 175: 4083. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1859-0

Dafner, E. V., Sempéré, R., and Bryden, H. L. (2001b). Total organic carbon distribution and budget through the strait of gibraltar in april 1998. Marine Chemistry, 73(3):233–252.

Dee, D. P., et al. (2011), The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597.

Di Biagio, V.(2017) A method to characterize the statistical extremes in marine biogeochemistry: the case of the Mediterranean chlorophyll, Ph.D Thesis University of Trieste http://hdl.handle.net/11368/2908150

Di Biagio, V., Cossarini, G., Salon, S., Lazzari, P., Querin, S., Sannino, G., & Solidoro, C. (2019). Temporal scales of variability in the Mediterranean Sea ecosystem: Insight from a coupled model. *Journal of Marine Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.05.002

Dickinson RE, Henderson-Sellers A, Kennedy P (1993) Biosphere–atmosphere transfer scheme (BATS) version 1e as coupled to the NCAR community climate model. Tech Rep, National Center for Atmospheric Research Tech Note NCAR.TN-387+STR, NCAR, Boulder, CO

Di Sante F, Coppola E., Farneti R., Giorgi F. (2019) Indian Summer Monsoon as simulated by the Regional Earth System

Model RegCM-ES: the role of local air-sea interaction. Clim Dyn (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04612-8

D'Ortenzio, F., Iudicone, D., de Boyer Montegut, C., Testor, Pierre, Antoine, D., Marullo, S., Santoleri, R. and Madec, G. (2005) Seasonal variability of the mixed layer depth in the Mediterranean Sea as derived from in situ profiles. Geophysical Research Letters, 32 (12). L12605. DOI <u>10.1029/2005GL022463</u>.

D'Ortenzio, F. and Ribera d'Alcala, M. (2009): On the trophic regimes of the Mediterranean Sea: a satellite analysis, Biogeosciences, 6, 139–148, doi:10.5194/bg-6-139-2009

Drobinski, P., Anav, A., Brossier, C. L., Samson, G., Stefanon, M. and co-authors (2012). Model of the Regional Coupled Earth system (MORCE): application to process and climate studies in vulnerable regionsm. Environ. Model. Software. 35, 1-18.



Fantini, A., Raffaele, F., Torma, C. et al. (2018) Assessment of multiple daily precipitation statistics in ERA-Interim driven Med-CORDEX and EURO-CORDEX experiments against high resolution observations Clim Dyn 51: 877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3453-4

Fratianni, C., Simoncelli, S., Pinardi, N., Cherchi, A., Grandi, A., & Dobricic, S. (2015). Mediterranean RR 1955-2015 (Version 1). (Data set). Copernicus Monitoring Environment Marine Service (CMEMS). https://doi.org/10.25423/MEDITERRANEAN SEA_REANALYSIS_PHY_006_009

Garcia, H. E., K. Weathers, C. R. Paver, I. Smolyar, T. P. Boyer, R. A. Locarnini, M. M. Zweng, A. V. Mishonov, O. K. Baranova, D. Seidov, and J. R. Reagan, 2018. *World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 4: Dissolved Inorganic Nutrients (phosphate, nitrate and nitrate+nitrite, silicate).* A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 84, 35 pp.

Garcia, H. E., K. Weathers, C. R. Paver, I. Smolyar, T. P. Boyer, R. A. Locarnini, M. M. Zweng, A. V. Mishonov, O. K. Baranova, D. Seidov, and J. R. Reagan, 2018. *World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 3: Dissolved Oxygen, Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and Oxygen Saturation.* A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 83, 38 pp.

Giorgi, F., M. R. Marinucci, G. Bates, and G. DeCanio (1993), Development of a second generation regional climate model (RegCM2): II. Convective processes and assimilation of lateral boundary conditions, Mon. Weather Rev., 121, 2814–2832.

Giorgi F. (2006), Climate change hot-spots, Geophysical Research Letters, 33 (8)

Giorgi F (2006a) Regional climate modeling: status and perspectives. J Phys IV 139:101-118

Giorgi F., Lionello P. (2008) Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region (2008), Global and Planetary Change, Pages 90-104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.09.005.

Giorgi, F., C. Jones, and G. Asrar (2009), Addressing climate information needs at the regional level: The CORDEX framework, World Meteorol.Organ Bull., 58, 175–183.

Giorgi, F., et al. (2012), RegCM4: Model description and preliminary tests over multiple CORDEX domains, Clim. Res., 52, 7–29.

Giorgi F, Mearns LO (1999) Introduction to special section: regional climate modeling revisited. J Geophys Res 104:6335–6352

Giorgi F., Gao X. (2018) Regional earth system modeling: review and future directions Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters 11 (2), 189-197

Good SA, Martin MJ, Rayner NA (2013) EN4: quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. J Geophys Res Oceans 118:6704–6716. doi:10.1002/2013JC009067

Grell, G. A., J. Dudhia, and D. Stauffer (1994), A description of the fifth-generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5), NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-3981STR, doi:10.5065/D60Z716B.

Grenier H, Bretherton CS (2001) A moist PBL parameterization for large scale models and its application to subtropical cloud-topped marine boundary layers. Mon Weather Rev 129: 357–377

Hagemann, S., and L. Dumenil (1998), A parameterization of the lateral waterflow for the global scale, Clim. Dyn., 14, 17–31.

Hagemann, S., and L. Dumenil (2001), Validation of the hydrological cycle of ECMWF and NCEP reanalyses using the MPI hydrological discharge model, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 1503–1510.

Haidvogel DB, Arango HG, Budgell WP, Cornuelle BD, Curchitser E, DiLorenzo E, Fennel K, Geyer WR, Hermann AJ, Lanerolle L, Levin J, McWilliams JC, Miller AJ, Moore AM, Powell TM, Shchepetkin AF, Sherwood CR, Signell RP, Warner JC, Wilkin J (2008) Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: formulation and skill assessment of the Regional Ocean Modeling System. J Comput Phys 227:3595–3624

Harzallah, A., Jord_a, G., Dubois, C., Sannino, G., Carillo, A., Li, L., . . . Akhtar, N. (2016). Long term evolution of heat budget in the Mediterranean Sea from Med-CORDEX forced and coupled simulations. Climate Dynamics, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3363-5

Herrmann, M., Somot, S., Calmanti, S., Dubois, C. and Sevault, F. (2011). Representation of spatial and temporal variability of daily wind speed and of intense wind events over the Mediterranean Sea using dynamical downscaling: impact of the regional climate model configuration. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 11, 1983_2001.

Hill, C., C. DeLuca, V. Balaji, M. Suarez, and A. Da Silva (2004a), The architecture of the earth system modeling framework, Comput. Sci. Eng., 6(1), 18–28, doi:10.1109/MCISE.2004.1255817.

Hill, C., et al. (2004b), Implementing applications with the earth system modeling framework, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., 3732, 563–572.

Holtslag, A. A. M., De Bruin, E. I. F., and Pan, H. L. (1990): A high resolution air mass transformation model for short range weather forecasting, Mon. Weather Rev., 118, 1561–1575

Houpert, L., Testor, P., de Madron, X. D., Somot, S., D'Ortenzio, F., Estournel, C., and Lavigne, H. (2015). Seasonal cycle of the mixed layer, the seasonal thermocline and the upper-ocean heat storage rate in the mediterranean sea derived from observations. Progress in Oceanography,132:33.

Huertas, I. E., Ríos, A. F., García-Lafuente, J., Makaoui, A., Rodríguez-Gálvez, S., Sánchez-Román, A., Orbi, A., Ruíz, J., and Pérez, F. F. (2009). Anthropogenic and natural co2 exchange through the strait of gibraltar. Biogeosciences, 6(4):647–662.

Huffman, G., R. Adler, M. Morrissey, D. Bolvin, S. Curtis, R. Joyce, B. McGavock, and J. Susskind (2001), Global precipitation at one-degree daily resolution from multisatellite observations, J. Hydrometeorol., 2, 36–50.

Kiehl, J., J. Hack, G. Bonan, B. Boville, B. Briegleb, D. Williams, and P. Rasch (1996), Description of the NCAR community climate model (CCM3), Tech. Rep. TN-4201STR, Natl. Cent. for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo.

Kessouri, F., Ulses, C., Estournel, C., Marsaleix, P., D'Ortenzio, F., Severin, T., Taillandier, V., and Conan, P. (2018). Vertical mixing effects on phytoplankton dynamics and organic carbon export in the western mediterranean sea. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans.

Krinner, G., Viovy, N., De Noblet-Ducoudre, N., Ogee, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Colin Prentice, I., (2005). A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 19, 1-33.

Kourafalou, V. H. and Barbopoulos, K. (2003) High resolution simulations on the North Aegean Sea seasonal circulation, Ann. Geophys., 21, 251-265, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-21-251-2003

Lamon, L., Rizzi, J., Bonaduce, A. et al. (2014) An ensemble of models for identifying climate change scenarios in the Gulf of Gabes, Tunisia Reg Environ Change 31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0430-x</u>

Lawrence, P.J. and Chase T.N. (2007) Representing a new Modis consistent land surface in the community land model (CLM 3.0) J. Geophys. Res., 112, G01023, doi:10.1029/2006JG000168

Lazzari, P et al. (2012): Seasonal and inter-annual variability of plankton chlorophyll and primary production in the Mediterranean Sea: a modelling approach, Biogeosciences, 9, 217-233, doi:10.5194/bg-9-217-2012.

Lazzari P., G Mattia, C Solidoro, S Salon, A Crise, M Zavatarelli, P Oddo, M Vichi (2014) The impacts of climate change and environmental management policies on the trophic regimes in the Mediterranean Sea: Scenario analyses Journal of Marine Systems Sea

Lazzari, P et al. (2016): Spatial variability of phosphate and nitrate in the Mediterranean Sea: A modeling approach Deep Sea Research Pages 39-52

Lionello, P., Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., Boscolo, R., Alpert, P., Artale, V., Li, L., Luterbacher, J., May, W., Trigo, R., Tsimplis, M., Ulbrich, U., and Xoplaki, E. (2006): The Mediterranean Climate: An overview of the main characteristics and issues, in: Mediterranean Climate Variability, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006a.

Lionello P.; F. Abrantes; L. Congedi; F. Dulac; M. Gacic; D. Gomis; C. Goodess; H. Hoff; H. Kutiel; J. Luterbacher; S. Planton; M. Reale; K. Schröder; M. V. Struglia; A. Toreti; M. Tsimplis; U. Ulbrich; E. Xoplaki (2012) Introduction: Mediterranean Climate: Background Information The Climate of the Mediterranean Region. From the Past to the Future, Elsevier Press, Amsterdam

Lionello, P., Trigo, I.F., Gil, V., Liberato, M.L.R., Nissen, K., Pinto, J.G., Raible, C., Reale, M., Tanzarella, A., Trigo, R.M., Ulbrich, S. and Ulbrich, U., (2016) Objective Climatology of Cyclones in the Mediterranean Region: a consensus view among methods with different system identification and tracking criteria. Tellus A, 68, 29391, https://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v68.29391

Llasses, J., Jorda, G., Gomis, D., Adloff, F., Macıas, D., Harzallah, A., et al (2016). Heat and salt redistribution within the Mediterranean Sea in the Med-CORDEX model ensemble. Climate Dynamics, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3242-0

Ludwig, W., Dumont, E., Meybeck, M., and Heussner, S. (2009). River discharges or water and nutrients to the Mediterranean and Black Sea: major drivers for ecosystem changes during past and future decades? *Prog. Oceanogr.* 80, 199–217. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2009.02.001

Madec, G., (2008): NEMO ocean engine, Note du Pole de modelisation, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL), France, No 27 ISSN No 1288-1619

Manca, B., Burca, M., Giorgetti, A., Coatanoan, C., Garcia, M. J., and Iona, A. (2004): Physical and biochemical averaged vertical profiles in the Mediterranean regions: an important tool to trace the climatology of water masses and to validate incoming data from operational oceanography, J. Marine Syst., 48, 83–116, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.11.025

Mantziafou, A., and A. Lascaratos (2004), An eddy resolving numerical study of the general circulation and deepwater formation in the Adriatic Sea, Deep Sea Res., Part I, 51(7), 251–292.

Mantziafou, A., and A. Lascaratos (2008), Deep-water formation in the Adriatic Sea:Interannual simulations for the years 1979-1999, Deep Sea Res., Part I, 55, 1403–1427.

Marty, J.-C. and Chiaverini, J. (2002). Seasonal and interannual variations in phytoplankton production at dyfamed time series station, northwestern Mediterranean sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 49(11) 2017-2030.

Marshall, J., A. Adcroft, C. Hill, L. Perelman and C. Heisey (1997a), A finite-volume, incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers, J. Geophys. Res. 102, 5753–5766.

Marshall, J., C. Hill, L. Perelman, C. Heisey, and A. Adcroft (1997b), Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling, J.Geophys. Res., 102, 5733–5752.

Marullo S,Nardelli B, Guarracino M, Santoleri R (2007) Observing the Mediterranean Sea from space: 21 years of Pathfinder-AVHRR sea surface temperatures (1985 to 2005):re-analysis and validation. Ocean Sci 3:299–310

Mattia, G., M. Zavatarelli, M. Vichi, and P. Oddo (2013), The Eastern Mediterranean Sea biogeochemical dynamics in the 1990s: A numerical study, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, 2231–2248, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20160.

MEDAR Group (2002) Mediterranean and Black Sea database of temperature, salinity and biochemical parameters and climatological atlas [4 CD-ROMs], Ifremer Ed., Plouzane, France. Available at http://www.ifremer.fr/sismer/program/medar/

Miglietta, M.M., Mazon, J., Motola, V. et al. Effect of a positive Sea Surface Temperature anomaly on a Mediterranean tornadic supercell. Sci Rep 7, 12828 (2017) doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13170-0

Moutin, T. and Raimbault, P.: Primary production, Carbon export and nutrients availability in western and eastern Mediterranean Sea in early summer 1996 (MINOS cruise), J. Marine Syst., 33/34, 273–288, 2002.

Napolitano, E., Oguz, T., Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., Yilmaz, A., and Sansone, E. (2000). Simulations of biological production in the rhodes and ionian basins of the eastern mediterranean. Journal of Marine Systems , 24(3):277-298.

New, M. G., Hulme, M., and Jones, P. D.: Representing twentieth century space time climate fields. Pat II: Development of a 1901–1996 mean monthly terrestrial climatology, J. Climate, 13, 2217–2238, 2000.

Noilhan, J. and Planton, S. 1989. A simple parameterisation of land surface processes for meteorological models. Mon. Weather Rev. 117, 536_549.

Noilhan, J. and Mahfouf, J.-F. 1996. The ISBA land surface parameterisation scheme. Global Planet. Change. 13, 145_159.

Oddo, P., Adani, M., Pinardi, N., Fratianni, C., Tonani, M., & Pettenuzzo, D. (2009). A nested Atlantic-Mediterranean Sea general circulation model for operational forecasting. Ocean Science, 5, 461–473. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-5-461-2009

Oleson, K. W., and Coauthors (2010), Technical Description of version 4.0 of the Community Land Model (CLM), NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-4781STR, doi:10.5065/D6FB50WZ.

Oki, T. and Sud, Y. C. 1998. Design of total runoff integrating pathways (TRIP). A Global River Channel Network. EarthInteract. 2, 1-36.

Pal, J., E. Small, and E. Eltahir (2000), Simulation of regional-scale water and energy budgets: Representation of subgrid cloud and precipitation processes within RegCM, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 29,579–29,594.

Pal JS, Giorgi F, Bi X, Elguindi N, Solmon F, Gao X, Rauscher SA, Francisco R, Zakey A, Winter J, Ashfaq M, Syed FS, Bell JL, Diffenbaugh NS, Karmacharya J, Konaré A, Martinez D, da Rocha RP, Sloan LC, Steiner AL (2007) Regional climate modeling for the developing world: the ICTP RegCM3 and RegCNET. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 88:1395–1409

Pierini S. and Rubino A. (2001) Modeling the Oceanic Circulation in the Area of the Strait of Sicily: The Remotely Forced Dynamics (2001) Journal of Physical Oceanography DOI: 10.1175/1520-0485(2001)0312.0.CO;2

Pinardi, N., Zavatarelli, M., Adani, M., Coppini, G., Fratianni, C., Oddo, P., . . . Bonaduce, A. (2015). Mediterranean Sea large-scale low-frequency ocean variability and water mass formation rates from 1987 to 2007: A retrospective analysis. Progress in Oceanography, 132, 318–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.11.003

Pisano A. et al. (2016) The new Mediterranean optimally interpolated pathfinder AVHRR SST Dataset (1982–2012) doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.01.019.

Reale, M., S.Salon, A. Crise, R. Farneti, R. Mosetti and G.Sannino Unexpected covariant behavior of Aegean and Ionian Seas in the period 1987-2008 (2017), Journal of Geophysical Research Oceandoi: 10.1002/2017JC012983)

Ribera d'Alcalà, M., G. Civitarese, F. Conversano, and R. Lavezza (2003), Nutrient ratios and fluxes hint at overlooked processes in the Mediterranean Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8106, doi:10.1029/2002JC001650, C9.

Richon, C., Dutay, J.-C., Dulac, F., Wang, R., Balkanski, Y., Nabat, P., Aumont, O., Desboeufs, K., Laurent, B., Guieu, C., Raimbault, P., and Beuvier, J. (2017) Modeling the impacts of atmospheric deposition of nitrogen and desert dust-derived phosphorus on nutrients and biological budgets of the Mediterranean Sea, Prog. Oceanogr., 163, 21–39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.04.009

Richon, C., Dutay, J.-C., Dulac, F., Wang, R., and Balkanski, Y. (2018) Modeling the biogeochemical impact of atmospheric phosphate deposition from desert dust and combustion sources to the Mediterranean Sea, Biogeosciences, 15, 2499–2524, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2499-2018</u>

Richon, C., Dutay, J.-C., Bopp, L., Le Vu, B., Orr, J. C., Somot, S., and Dulac, F. (2019), Biogeochemical response of the Mediterranean Sea to the transient SRES-A2 climate change scenario, Biogeosciences, 16, 135-165, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-135-2019.

Rixen M., Beckers J. M., Levitus S., Antonov J., Boyer T., Maillard C., Fichaut M., Balopoulos E., Iona S., Dooley H., Garcia M. J., Manca B., Giorgetti A., Manzella G., Mikhailov N., Pinardi N., Zavatarelli M. (2005). The western Mediterranean deep water: a new proxy for global climate change Geophysical Research Letters, 32, doi: 10129/2005GL022702.

Roether, W., Klein, B., Manca, B., Theocharis, A., & Kioroglou, S. (2007). Transient Eastern Mediterranean deep waters in response to the massive dense-water output of the Aegean Sea in the 1990s. Progress in Oceanography, 74, 540–571.

Ruti, P., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A., . . ., Vervatis, V. (2014). MED-CORDEX initiative for Mediterranean Climate studies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 1187–1208.

P.M. Salgado-Hernanz, M.-F. Racault, J.S. Font-Muñoz, G. Basterretxea, (2018) Trends in phytoplankton phenology in the Mediterranean Sea based on ocean-colour remote sensing, Remote Sensing of Environment, Pages 50-64,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.036.

Sanchez-Gomez, E., Somot, S., Josey, S. A., Dubois, C., Elguindi, N. and co-authors. (2011). Evaluation of Mediterranean Sea water and heat budgets simulated by an ensemble of high resolution regional climate models. Clim. Dynam. 37, 2067_2086.

Sein, D. V., U. Mikolajewicz, M. Groger, I. Fast, W. Cabos, J. G. Pinto, S. Hagemann, T. Semmler, A. Izquierdo, and D. Jacob (2015), Regionally coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea icemarine biogeochemistry model ROM: Description and validation, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 7, 268–304, doi:10.1002/2014MS000357.

Shchepetkin AF, McWilliams JC (2005) The regional oceanic modeling system (ROMS): a split-explicit, freesurface, topography following- coordinate oceanic model. Ocean Model 9:147–404

Schroeder, K., et al. (2012), Circulation of the Mediterranean Sea and its variability, in The Climate of the Mediterranean Region. From the Past to the Future, edited by P. Lionello, pp. 187–256, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Schroeder, K., Chiggiato, J., Bryden, H. L., Borghini, M. and Ben Ismail, S. (2016) Abrupt climate shift in the Western Mediterranean Sea Nature Scientific Reports https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23009

Siokou-Frangou, I., U. Christaki, M. G. Mazzocchi, M. Montresor, M. Ribera d'Alcalà, D. Vaquè, and A. Zingone (2010), Plankton in the open Mediterranean Sea: A review, Biogeosciences 7, 1–44.

Sitz, L. E., et al. (2017), Description and evaluation of the Earth System Regional Climate Model (Reg CM-ES), J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 9, 1863–1886,doi:10.1002/2017MS000933.

Sevault F., Somot S., Alias A., Dubois C., Lebeaupin-Brossier C., Nabat P., Adloff F., Déqué M., Bertrand Decharme B. (2014) A fully coupled Mediterranean regional climate system model: design and evaluation of the ocean component for the 1980–2012 period, Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 66:1, 23967, DOI: 10.3402/tellusa.v66.23967

Skamarock, W.C., Klemp, J.B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D.O., Barker, D.M., Duda, M.G., Huang, X-Y.W., Wang Powers, J.G., 2008. A description of the advanced research WRF Version 3, 125 pp., NCAR Technical Note

Somot S, Sevault F, Deque M, Crepon M (2008) 21st Century climate change scenario for the Mediterranean using a coupled atmosphere-ocean regional climate model. Glob Planet Change 63(2–3):112–126

Sournia, A. (1973). La production primaire planctonique en Mediterranee; essai de mise ajour. Cooperative Investigations in the Mediterranean, International Coordinator and Operational Unit; Etude en commun de la Mediterranee, Coordonnateur international et Unite operationnelle.

Teruzzi A., Cossarini G., Lazzari P., Salon S., Bolzon G., Crise A., Solidoro C. (2016). "Mediterranean Sea biogeochemical reanalysis (CMEMS-Biogeochemistry 1999-2015)". Copernicus Monitoring Environment Marine Service. DOI: https://doi.org/10.25423

Tiedtke, M. (1989), A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameterization in large-scale models, Mon. Weather Rev., 117(8), 1779–1800.

Turuncoglu, U., and G. Sannino (2016), Validation of newly designed regional earth system model (RegESM) for Mediterranean basin, Clim. Dyn., 48, 2919–2947, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3241-1.

Turuncoglu, U., G. Giuliani, N. Elguindi, and F. Giorgi (2013), Modelling the Caspian sea and its catchment area using a coupled regional atmosphere-ocean model (RegCM4-ROMs): Model design and preliminary results, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 283–299.

Valenti, D., G. Denaro, R. Ferreri, S. Genovese, S. Aronica, S. Mazzola, A. Bonanno, G. Basilone & B. Spagnolo, 2017. Spatio-temporal dynamics of a planktonic system and chlorophyll distribution in a 2D spatial domain: matching model and data. Scientific Reports 7(1): 220.

Vichi M., Lovato T., Lazzari P., Cossarini G., Gutierrez MlotE., MattiaG., MasinaS., McK-iver W. J., Pinardi N., Solidoro C., Tedesco L., ZavatarelliM. (2015). The BiogeochemicalFlux Model (BFM): Equation Description and User Manual. BFMversion 5.1. BFM Reportseries N. 1, Release 1.1, August 2015, Bologna, Italy, http://bfm-community.eu, pp. 104

Uitz, J., Strams, D., Gentili, B., D'Ortenzio, F., and Claustre, H. (2012). Estimates of phytoplankton class-specic and total primary production in the Mediterranean Sea from satellite ocean color observations. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26(2).

Yu, L., Jin, X. and Weller, R. A. (2008). Multidecade Global Flux Datasets from the Objectively Analyzed Airsea Fluxes (OAFlux) Project: Latent and Sensible Heat Fluxes, Ocean Evaporation, and Related Surface Meteorological Variables. Technical Report, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution OAFlux Project Technical Report (OA-2008_01).

Zeng, X., M. Zhao, and R. Dickinson (1998), Intercomparison of bulk aerodynamic algorithms for the computation of sea surface fluxes using TOGA COARE and TAO data, J. Clim., 11, 2628–2644.

Accepted